Two-Body B Decays to Pseudoscalar and Vector Mesons in QCD Factorization Approach

YANG Mao-Zhi¹ YANG Ya-Dong²

(Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, Beijing 100039, China)
 (Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel)

Abstract Motivated by recent CELO measurements and the progress of the theory of B decays, B \rightarrow PV(P= π , K; V= K*, ρ , ω) decay modes are studied in the framework of QCD factorization. All the measured branching ratios are well accommodated in the reasonable parameter space and predictions for other decay modes are well below the experimental upper limits.

Key words factorization, weak decay, meson

B physics is one of the most important fields nowadays because it is of great help for testing the quark flavor mixing theory of the standard model and exploring the source of CP violation. Most of the theoretical studies of B decays to pseudocalar and vector final states are based on the popular Naive Factorization approach^[1]. As it was ponited out years ago in Ref. [2], the dominant contribution in B decays comes from the so-called Feynman mechanism, where the energetic quark created in the weak decay picks up the soft spectator softly and carries nearly all of the final-state meson's momentum. It is also shown that Pion form factor in QCD at intermediate engery scale is dominated by Feynman mechanism^[3–5]. From this point, we can understand why the naive factorization approach have worked well for B and D decays, and the many existing predictions for B decays based on naive factorization and spectator ansatz do have taken in the dominant physics effects although there are shortcommings. However, with the many new data available from CLEO and an abundance of data to arrive within few years from the B factories BaBar and Belle, it is demanded highly to go beyond the naive factorization approach.

Recently, Beneke et al., have formed an interesting QCD factorization formula for B exclusive nonleptonic decays^[6,7]. The factorization formula incorporates elements of the naive factorization approach (as leading contribution) and the hard-scattering approach (as subleading corrections), which allows us to calculate systematically radiative(subleading nonfactorizable) corrections to naive factorization for B exclusive nonleptonic decays. An important product of the formula is that the strong final-state interaction phases are calculable, which arise from the

The amplitude of B decays to two light mesons, say M_1 and M_2 , is obtained through the hadronic matrix element $\langle M_1(p_1) M_2(p_2) | O_i | B(p) \rangle$, here M_1 denotes the final meson that picks up the light spectator quark in the B meson, and M_2 is the another meson which is composed of the quarks produced from the weak decay point of b quark. Since the quark pair, forming M_2 , is ejected from the decay point of b quark carrying the large energy of order of m_b , soft gluons with the momentum of order of Λ_{QCD} decouple from it at leading order of Λ_{QCD}/m_b in the heavy quark limit. As a consequence any interaction between the quarks of M_2 and the quarks out of M_2 is hard at leading power in the heavy quark expansion. On the other hand, the light spectator quark carries the momentum of the order of Λ_{QCD} , and is softly transferred into M_1 unless it undergoes a hard interaction. Any soft interaction between the spectator quark and other constituents in B and M_1 can be absorbed into the transition form factor of $B \rightarrow M_1$. The non-factorizable contribution to B $\rightarrow M_1 M_2$ can be calculated through the diagrams in Fig.1.



Fig. 1. Order α_s non-factorizable contributions in B \rightarrow M₁M₂ decays.

The O_i 's incorporated in Fig.1 are the operators in the effective Hamiltonian for B decays^[8],

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[V_{\text{ub}} V_{\text{uq}}^{*} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} C_{i} O_{i}^{\text{u}} + \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_{i} O_{i} + C_{\text{g}} O_{\text{g}} \right) + V_{\text{cb}} V_{\text{cq}}^{*} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} C_{i} O_{i}^{\text{c}} + \sum_{i=3}^{10} C_{i} O_{i} + C_{\text{g}} O_{\text{g}} \right) \right], \quad (1)$$

Where

$$\begin{split} &O_{1}^{u} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \left(\overline{u}_{\beta} b_{\beta}\right)_{V-A}, &O_{2}^{u} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} u_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \left(\overline{u}_{\beta} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A}, \\ &O_{1}^{c} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \left(\overline{c}_{\beta} b_{\beta}\right)_{V-A}, &O_{2}^{c} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} c_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \left(\overline{c}_{\beta} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A}, \\ &O_{3} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} \left(\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\beta}'\right)_{V-A}, &O_{4} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} \left(\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\alpha}'\right)_{V-A}, \\ &O_{5} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} \left(\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\beta}'\right)_{V+A}, &O_{6} = \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} \left(\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\alpha}'\right)_{V+A}, \\ &O_{7} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} e_{q'} \left(\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\beta}'\right)_{V+A}, &O_{8} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} e_{q'} \left(\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\alpha}'\right)_{V+A}, \end{split}$$

$$O_{9} = \frac{3}{2} (\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\alpha})_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} e_{q'} (\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\beta}')_{V-A}, \quad O_{10} = \frac{3}{2} (\overline{q}_{\alpha} b_{\beta})_{V-A} \cdot \sum_{q'} e_{q'} (\overline{q}_{\beta}' q_{\alpha}')_{V-A},$$

$$O_{g} = (g_{s} / 8\pi^{2}) m_{b} \overline{d}_{a} \sigma^{\mu\nu} R(\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{A} / 2) b_{\beta} G_{\mu\nu}^{A}. \tag{2}$$

Here q=d, s and (q' ϵ {u, d, s, c, b}), α and β are the *SU*(3) color indices and $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{A}$, *A*=1,...,8 are the Gell-Mann matrices, and $G_{\mu\nu}^{A}$ denotes the gluonic field strength tensor. The Wilson coefficients evaluated at $\mu = m_{\rm b}$ scale are^[8]

$$C_1 = 1.082, \quad C_2 = -0.185, \quad C_3 = 0.014, \quad C_4 = -0.035, \quad C_5 = 0.009, \quad C_6 = -0.041, \\ C_7 = -0.002/137, \quad C_8 = 0.054/137, \quad C_9 = -1.292/137, \quad C_{10} = 0.262/137, \quad C_g = -0.143.$$
(3)

The non-factorizable contributions to $B \rightarrow M_1 M_2$ can be calculated through the diagrams in Fig.1. The details of the calculations can be found in Ref. [9]. In the numerical calculations we use^[10]

$$\tau(B^{+}) = 1.65 \times 10^{-12} \text{s}, \qquad \tau(B^{0}) = 1.56 \times 10^{-12} \text{s},$$

$$M_{\text{B}} = 5.2792 \text{GeV}, \qquad m_{\text{b}} = 4.8 \text{GeV}, \qquad m_{\text{c}} = 1.4 \text{GeV},$$

$$f_{\text{B}} = 0.180 \text{GeV}, \qquad f_{\pi} = 0.133 \text{GeV}, \qquad f_{\text{K}} = 0.158 \text{GeV},$$

$$f_{K}^{*} = 0.214 \text{GeV}, \qquad f_{\rho} = 0.21 \text{GeV}, \qquad f_{\omega} = 0.195 \text{GeV}.$$

For the chiral enhancement factors for the pseudoscalar mesons, we take

$$R_{\pi^{\pm}} = R_{\mathrm{K}^{\pm,0}} = -1.2$$
,

which are consistent with the values used in [6, 11, 12]. We should take care for R_{π^0} . As pointed out in Ref. [7], R_{π^0} for π^0 should be $-2M_{\pi}^2/(m_b(m_u + m_d))$ and equal to $R_{\pi^{\pm}}$ due to inclusion of isospin breaking effects correctly.

For the form factors, we take the results of light-cone sum rule^[13,14]

 $F^{B\to\pi}(0)=0.3, F^{B\to K}(0)=1.13F^{B\to\pi}(0), A_0^{B\to\varphi}=0.372, A_0^{B\to K^*}=0.470,$

and assume $A_0^{B\to\infty}(0)=1.2 A_0^{B\to\infty}(0)$ since we find larger $A_0^{B\to\infty}(0)$ is preferred by experimental data.

We take the leading-twist distribution amplitude (DA) $\phi(x)$ and the twist-3 DA $\phi^0(x)$ of light pseudoscalar and vector mesons as the asymptotic form^[15]

$$\phi_{P,V}(x) = 6x(1 - x), \ \phi_{P}^{0}(x) = 1.$$
 (4)

For the B meson, the wave function is chosen as^[16,17]

$$\phi_{\rm B}(x) = N_{\rm B} x^2 (1 - x)^2 \exp\left[-\frac{M_{\rm B}^2 x^2}{2\omega_{\rm B}^2}\right], \qquad (5)$$

with $\omega_{\rm B}$ =0.4GeV, and $N_{\rm B}$ is the normalization constant to make $\int_{0}^{1} dx \phi_{\rm B}(x) = 1$. $\phi_{\rm B}(x)$ is strongly peaked around *x*=0.1, which is consistent with the observation of Heavy Quark Effective Theory that the wave function should be peaked around $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M_{\rm B}$.

We have used the unitarity of the CKM matrix $V_{uq}^* V_{ub} + V_{cq}^* V_{cb} + V_{tq}^* V_{tb} = 0$ to decompose the

amplitudes into terms containing, $V_{uq}^* V_{ub}$ and $V_{cq}^* V_{cb}$, and

 $|V_{us}| = \lambda = 0.2196$, $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| = 0.085 \pm 0.02$, $|V_{cb}| = 0.0395 \pm 0.0017$, $|V_{ud}| = 1 - \lambda^2/2$. (6) We leave the CKM angle γ as a free parameter.

The numerical results of the branching ratios $B \rightarrow PV$ are shown in Fig.2 as the function of CKM angle γ . We can see from Fig. 2(a), (b) and (c) that for the three detected channels the predicted branching ratios agree well with the CLEO experiment data^[18]. Our predictions for other decay modes are well below their 90% C.L. upper limits.

There are several works available with detailed analysis of the CLEO new data of the decays of B to charmless PV states^[11,12,19]. It is worth to note that the shortcomings in the "generalized factorization" are resolved in the framework of QCD Factorization. Nonfactorizable effects are calculated in a rigorous way here instead of being parameterized by effective color number. Since the hard scattering kernals are convoluted with the light cone DAs of the mesons, gluon virtuality $k^2 = \bar{x}m_b^2$ in the penguin diagram Fig. 1(e) has well defined meaning and leaves no ambiguity as to the value of k^2 , which has usually been treated as a free phenomenological parameter in the estimations of the strong phase generated though the BSS mechanism^[20]. So that CP asymmetries are predicted soundly in this approach. We present the numerical result of the branching ratios of B–PV decays in Table 1 with the relevant strong phases shown explicitly. It shows that the strong phases are generally mode dependent.

Table 1. Strong phases in the branching ratios (in units of 10^{-6}) for the charmless decays modes studied by CLEO. ($\gamma = \operatorname{Arg} V_{ub}^*$)

$B(B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \rho^{0}) = 6.65 0.11e^{-i86.5^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$	$B(\overline{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \rho^{-}) = 19.79 0.11 e^{i9.02^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$
$B(\overline{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \rho^{+}) = 13.43 0.03 e^{i172^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$	$B(B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \omega) = 10.59 0.065 e^{i26.01^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^2$
$B(\overline{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{0})=0.11 0.21e^{2.90^{\circ}}+e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$	$B(B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rho^{-}) = 10.81 0.176 e^{i7.20^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$
$B(\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \omega) = 1.49 \times 10^{-3} \left 1.64 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 148^{\circ}} + \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \gamma} \right ^{2}$	$B(B^{-} \rightarrow K^{-} \rho^{0})=0.55 0.24e^{-i162^{\circ}}+e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$
$B(B^{-} \to \pi^{-} \overline{K}^{*0}) = 0.0012 56.4e^{-i15.7^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^2$	$B(B^{-} \rightarrow K^{-}K^{*0}) = 0.030 2.86e^{i164^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$
$B(B^{-} \to \pi^0 K^{*-}) = 0.59 2.80e^{-i169^\circ} + e^{-i\gamma} ^2$	$B(B^{-} \rightarrow K^{-} \omega) = 0.80 0.48e^{-i9.23^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^{2}$
$B(\overline{B}^{0}\rightarrow K^{0}\omega)=0.72 \left 0.81e^{\frac{1}{100}i 11.8^{\circ}}+e^{\frac{1}{100}i \gamma} \right ^{2}$	$B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow K^{-} \rho^{+}) = 0.96 0.63 e^{-i7.20^{\circ}} + e^{-i\gamma} ^2$
$B(\overline{B}^{0} \to \pi^{0} \overline{K}^{*0})=0.004 12.89 e^{i67.61^{\circ}} + e^{i\gamma} ^{2}$	

Hou, Smith and Würthwein have performed a model dependent fit using the recent CLEO data and found $\gamma = 114^{+25}_{-21}$ degree. Using *SU*(3) flavor symmetry, Gronau and Rosner have analyzed the decays of B to charmless PV final states extensively and found several processes are consistent with $\cos \gamma < 0$. In this paper we find $\cos \gamma < 0$ is favored by the B⁻ $\rightarrow \pi^{-}\rho^{0}$ and $\overline{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\rho^{+} + \pi^{+}\rho^{-}$ if their experimental center values are taken seriously. To meet its center value with $\cos \gamma < 0$, B⁻ $\rightarrow \pi$

[•] ω would indicate larger form factor i.e. $A_0^{B\to\omega}(0) > A_0^{B\to\rho}(0)$. In our numerical calculation, we have taken $A_0^{B\to\omega}(0) = 0.446$ which is still consistent with the LCSR results $0.372 \pm 0.074^{[13]}$. It is also interesting to note that $\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^+ \rho^-$ is suppressed by $\cos\gamma < 0$ while $\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^- \rho^+$ is enchanced. The defference between $Br(\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^+ \rho^-)$ and $Br(\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^- \rho^+)$ is much more sensitive to γ than their sum.



Fig.2. $Br(B\rightarrow PV)$ as a function of γ are shown as curves in units of 10^{-6} . The *Br* measured by CLEO Collaboration are shown by horizontal solid lines. The thicker solid lines are its center values, thin lines are its error bars or the upper limit.

6

we have calculated the branching ratios and CP asymmetries of the charmless decays $B \rightarrow PV(P = (\pi, K), V = (\rho, \omega, K^*))$ in QCD factorization approach. We have used LCSR form factors $F^{B \rightarrow \pi, K}(0)$ and $A_0^{\rho, K^*}(0)$ as inputs. The results of $Br(B^- \rightarrow \pi^- \rho^0)$ and $Br(\overline{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \rho^{\mp})$ agree with CLEO^[18] very well and favor $\cos \gamma < 0$ if their experimental center values are taken seriously. To meet its experimental center value and $\cos \gamma < 0$, the decay $B^- \rightarrow \pi^- \omega$ will prefer larger form factor $A_0^{B \rightarrow \omega}(0)$. For the other decay modes, the branching ratios are predicted well below their 90% C.L. upper limits given in Ref. [18].

References

- 1 Bauer M, Stech B, Wirbel M. Z. Phys., 1985, C29: 637; Z. Phys., 1987, C34: 103
- 2 Chernyak V L, Zhitnitsky L R. Nucl. Phys., 1990, B345: 137
- 3 Isgur N, Llewelyn-Smith C H. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1984, 52: 1080; Nucl. Phys., 1989, B317: 526
- 4 Radyushkin A V. Acta Phys., 1984, Pol. 15: 403
- 5 Stefanis N G. hep-ph/9911375
- 6 Beneke M, Buchalla G, Neubert M. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1999, 83: 1914
- 7 Beneke M, Buchalla G, Neubert M et al. hep-ph/0006124
- 8 Buchalla G, Buras A J, Lautenbacher M E. Rev. Mod. Phys., 1996, 68: 1125
- 9 YANG M Z, YANG Y Y. Phys. Rev., 2000, D62: 114019
- 10 Particle Data Group. Eur. Phys. J., 1998, C3: 1
- 11 CHENG H Y, YANG K C. hep-ph/9910291
- 12 HOU W S, Smith J G, Würthwein F W. hep-ex/9910014
- 13 Ball P, Braun V M. Phys. Rev., 1998, D58: 094016
- 14 Ball P. JHEP09, 005(1998)
- Lepage G P, Brodsky S J. Phys. Lett., 1979, B87: 359; Chernyak V L, Zhitinissky A R. Phys. Rep., 1983, 112: 173;
 Braun V M, Filyanov I E. Z. Phys., 1990, C48: 239
- 16 Keum Y Y, LI H -N, Sanda A I. Phys. Lett., 2001, **B504**: 2; Phys. Rev., 2001, **D63**: 054008
- 17 LÜ C D, Ukai D, YANG M Z. Phys. Rev., 2001, D63: 074009
- 18 CLEO Collaboration. CLEO CONF 99-13; CLEO Collaboration. CLNS 99/1652 and CLEO 99-19
- 19 Gronau M, Rosner J L. Phys. Rev., 2000, D61: 073008
- 20 Bander M, Silverman D, Soni A. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1979, 43: 242

用 QCD 因子化方法研究 B→PV 两体弱衰变过程

杨茂志¹杨亚东²

1(中国科学院高能物理研究所 北京 100039)

2 (Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel)

摘要 基于最近 CLEO 实验和 B 介子物理中理论研究的进展, 在 QCD 因子化方案下研 究了 B 介子到一个赝标 π , K 和一个矢量介子 ρ , ω 的两体弱衰变过程.在合理的参数范围 内, 理论计算与实验相符得很好.

关键词 因子化 弱衰变 介子