Strange quarkonium states at BESIII^{*}

LIU Pei-Lian(刘佩莲)^{1;1)} FANG Shuang-Shi(房双世)^{1;2)} LOU Xin-Chou(娄辛丑)^{1,2}

 1 Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China 2 University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080-3021, USA

Abstract: We present physics opportunities and topics with the s \bar{s} states (strangeonia) that can be studied with the BESIII detector operating at the BEPCII collider. Though the ϕ and η/η' states have long been established experimentally, only a handful of strangeonia are well known, in contrast to the rich c \bar{c} charmoium system. An overview of the s \bar{s} states and their experimental status is presented in this paper. The BESIII experiment has collected the world's largest samples of J/ ψ , $\psi(2S)$, $\psi(3770)$, and direct e⁺e⁻ annihilations at energies below the J/ ψ and above 3.8 GeV, and will continue to accumulate high quality, large integrated luminosity in the τ -charm energy region. These data, combined with the excellent performance of the BESIII detector, will offer unprecedented opportunities to explore the s \bar{s} system. In this paper we describe the experimental techniques to explore strangeonia with the BESIII detector.

Key words: strangeonium state, BESIII detector, charmonium decay, e⁺e⁻ annihilation **PACS:** 13.25.Gv, 13.66.Bc **DOI:** 10.1088/1674-1137/39/8/082001

1 Introduction

Half a century ago the quark model was introduced to describe the large array of hadrons, within which mesons are composed of $q\bar{q}$ bound together by the strong interaction, and baryons consist of three quarks. Since then the quark model has offered a useful tool in understanding the substructures of hadrons, and led to the advent of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) for describing the strong interaction. With the development of accelerators and detectors, hundreds of hadrons have been discovered and the quark model provides a good description of the observed hadrons, in particular the ground states and the heavy quarkonium states. Taking charmonium spectroscopy, bound states of the charmed quark and antiquark $(c\bar{c})$, as an example, it reflects a beautiful regularity and numerous electromagnetic and strong transitions. However, there are still many unseen states predicted by the quark model, in particular the $s\bar{s}$ states, for which the experimental situation is not well understood.

Being the bound state of a light s and anti-s quark, a strangeonium state is related to long-range (i.e., confinement) interactions, which provide information on nonperturbative QCD in the low energy region, where the heavy quark effective theory is not applicable.

The study of strangeonium mesons is of particular interest since they are a bridge between the light u, d quarks and the heavy c, b quarks. In addition, the strangeonium spectrum also helps identify the exotics (e.g., glueball, hybrid, multi-quark states) which can decay into the same final states. Within the framework of the relativistic quark model with QCD [1], a spectroscopy similar to heavy quarkonia is expected for strangeonium (s \bar{s}) states. Their decays were studied in detail with the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model [2], which is a phenomelogical theory for describing light meson decays. The s \bar{s} spectroscopy with spin J < 4 predicted by the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model is shown in Fig. 1. Only seven states (underlined with the solid lines) have been established and many members of the spectrum are still missing.

The poor experimental situation is largely due to the small fractions of $s\bar{s}$ states produced among hadrons, and their large width. At present the experimental information on the strangeonium states mainly came from the diffractive photoproduction reactions $\gamma p \rightarrow Xp$, strangeness exchange reactions $K^-p \rightarrow X\Lambda$, and e^+e^- collisions. Given our unsatisfactory knowledge of strangeonium, the search for these missing states is critical for

Received 15 May 2015

^{*} Supported by CAS/SAFEA International Partnership Program for Creative Research Teams, CAS and IHEP grants for the Thousand/Hundred Talent programs and National Natural Science Foundation of China (11175189)

¹⁾ E-mail: liupl@ihep.ac.cn

²⁾ E-mail: fangss@ihep.ac.cn

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Article funded by SCOAP³ and published under licence by Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd



Fig. 1. The strangeonium family.

understanding the $q\bar{q}$ interaction.

In this paper, we will review the experimental status of strangeonia, show the possible J/ψ and ψ' decays best suited for the search and study of new strangeonia, and propose approaches to search for new strangeonium-like states similar to the charmonium-like states (X, Y, Z), which were discovered at the Babar, Belle and BESIII experiments. We identify the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (s\bar{s})(s\bar{s})$ interaction as an especially important data sample to reconstruct new $s\bar{s}$ mesons with high efficiency in a mode independent way.

2 Strangeonia status

2.1 η' (1¹S₀)

The strangeonium spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. Starting with the $1^{1}S_{0}$ state, the η' was discovered a half century ago in bubble chamber experiments [3]. Since then the η' , being a pure SU(3) singlet, has attracted both theoretical and experimental attention due to its special role in understanding low energy QCD. Because of the chiral anomaly, the η' is a non-Goldstone boson which distinguishes itself from the others in the ground pseudoscalar nonet. Therefore the η' provides a unique field to test the fundamental symmetries and the predictions for chiral perturbative theory. In addition, the $\eta-\eta'$ mixing issue remains. Of interest is the gluonic content in η' , which makes this case more complicated. Many interesting and important issues are extensively discussed in Ref. [4].

In general our present knowledge of the η^\prime is based on limited statistics; only its most dominant decays have been observed, and are not well measured. To perform

high statistics measurements, the study of η' decays has already been listed in the physics programs of many experiments, including CLAS, Crystal Ball, WASA-at-COSY and KLOE-2. In addition to τ -charm physics, BESIII also has the capability to investigate η' decays via J/ψ radiative or hadronic decays. Based on a sample of $1.3 \times 10^9 J/\psi$ events, BESIII has made many important contributions to the study of η' decays, including the measurements of η' hadronic decays [5], and the search for rare or forbidden decays [6].

2.2 ϕ (1³S₁)

Another well established strangeonium is the $\phi(1020)$, which is the $1^{3}S_{1}$ state. The ϕ meson was first seen in a bubble chamber experiment at Brookhaven in 1962, was subsequently determined to be a vector meson with $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, and could be accommodated as an SU(3) singlet, supplementing an octet of other vector mesons (e.g., ρ , K^{*} and ω). To explain that ϕ prefers to decay into two kaons but not $\rho\pi$, the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule [7] was introduced. The ϕ contains a ss pair; when it decays, the strange quarks have to go somewhere, and the kaon pair route is the only possibility. Since it has a quite narrow width and could be directly produced in e^+e^- collisions, an ϕ factory, named DA Φ NE, was built to study its properties using the abundant production of ϕ collected with the KLOE detector, which had made a significant contribution to kaon and hadronic physics in the low QCD energy region. An upgrade of $DA\Phi NE$ and the KLOE detector were performed. The luminosity was designed to be improved by a factor of 3 and the detector was also upgraded accordingly. A review of the physics with the KLOE-2 detector at DA Φ NE is given in Ref. [8].

2.3 $h_1(1380)$ (¹ P_1)

The ${}^{1}P_{1}$ strangeonium state, $h_{1}(1380)$, is still a poorly known meson. In 1988, the first evidence of the ${}^{1}P_{1}$ strangeonium state was seen by the LASS spectrometer at SLAC, from a Partial Wave Analysis (PWA) of $K^{-}p \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\Lambda$ [9]. It was confirmed in the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K_{L}K_{S}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ by the crystal barrel detector at LEAR with a mass of $M=(1440\pm60) \text{ MeV}/c^{2}$ and a width of $\Gamma=(170\pm80) \text{ MeV}$ [10]. Due to the severe suppression by the phase space, its dominant decay is K*K. To date only these two experiments have observed this state, and further confirmation from other experiments is strongly needed. There is some theoretical interest in $h_{1}(1380)$. In particular, Ref. [11] discusses the origin of this state in chiral dynamics from the interaction of the vector-pseudoscalar.

2.4 $f_1(1420) ({}^3P_1)$

The first evidence for the $f_1(1420)$ was seen in the K*K mass spectrum in π^-p reactions [12], but it is

questionable because the structures around 1.4-1.5 GeV (e.g., $\eta(1405), \eta(1475)$) are found to be complicated. Detailed analyses [13] confirmed its existence and the spinparity is determined to be $J^{PC}=1^{++}$. The observations of $f_1(1420)$ in central production [14] provides unambiguous evidence that $f_1(1420)$ has spin 1 and suggests a nonstrange quark component in $f_1(1420)$ despite its decay to K*K π . In addition, the $f_1(1420)$ is not seen in the strange exchange K⁻p interactions, in which another meson, the $f_1(1510)$, possibly having large s \bar{s} components, is observed. Therefore, it has been suggested that the $f_1(1420)$ could be a hybrid [15], a four quark [16] or a molecular-like state [17].

This state has also been investigated in radiative and hadronic decays of the J/ ψ . MARK III [18] reported a structure around 1.42 GeV observed in the K* \bar{K} mass spectrum in J/ $\psi \rightarrow \omega K^* \bar{K} \pi$, but no similar peak was seen in J/ $\psi \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$. These results were confirmed by the BES II experiment [19] using the 58 million J/ ψ events. The mass and width obtained from a fit to the K*K π mass are consistent with those of the f₁(1420). The spin-parity is not determined here due to the large background. The amplitude analyses of J/ $\psi \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K} \pi$ indicates that the structure observed around 1.42 GeV in the K $\bar{K}\pi$ mass spectrum is a mixture of two or three states [20].

The $f_1(1510)$ could be the 3P_1 s \bar{s} state instead of the $f_1(1420)$ due to its production in hadronic K⁻p experiments. However, the absence of the $f_1(1510)$ in hadronic and radiative J/ ψ decays makes this case complicated. Given the complexity in the K $\bar{K}\pi^0$ system, it is important to extract these states in J/ ψ decays using high statistics data which will enable PWA. In addition to K*K, the observation of new decay modes, e.g., $\pi^+\pi^-\eta$ and 4π , could also provide valuable information on the $f_1(1420)$. Recently, BESIII reported evidence for $f_1(1510)$ observed in J/ $\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ decays [21].

2.5 $f'_2(1525)$ (³ P_2)

The $f'_2(1525)$ is widely accepted as the ${}^{3}P_2$ state, which was first seen in $\pi^-p \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S n$ collisions with limited statistics. Since then this state has been observed in many different production processes, including K^-p collisions, e^+e^- annihilations, $p\bar{p}$ annihilations, and ep collisions. Given that it decays dominantly into $K\bar{K}$ instead of $\pi\pi$ and is only observed in $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi K\bar{K}$ decays, it seems to be an s \bar{s} meson. A new idea that the $f'_2(1525)$ is dynamically generated from the vector-vector interaction has been introduced in Ref. [22]. Support for this idea can be found in Ref. [23], in which approaches to study the production of $f'_2(1525)$ and other resonances ($f_0(1370)$, $f_0(1710)$, $f_2(1270)$, $K^*_2(1430)$) in the J/ψ , ψ' and $\Upsilon(nS)$ decays are broadly discussed.

2.6 $\phi(1680)$ (2³S₁)

The $\phi(1680)$ is well established in e⁺e⁻ production. It was reported in e⁺e⁻ \rightarrow K_sK[±] π^{\mp} [24] and subsequent analyses [25] found the small rate of $\phi(1680)$ coupling to K⁺K⁻. The absence of $\phi(1680)$ in e⁺e⁻ $\rightarrow \omega \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ indicates that the $\phi(1680)$ is the promising 2³S₁ ss candidate because it prefers to decay into strange mesons as expected from the OZI rule. The B factories [26] observed the $\phi(1680)$ via the ISR process and found a new decay mode of $\phi(1680) \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$.

The $\phi(1680)$ is also expected to be observed in photoproduction experiments. However, the latest results on $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^-$ show a clear structure in the K⁺K⁻ mass spectrum with a mass of $M=1753\pm3$ MeV/ c^2 and a width of $\Gamma=122\pm63$ MeV, which is in good agreement with results from previous photoproduction experiments. The mass is much higher than the 1680 MeV/ c^2 reported from e⁺e⁻ experiments. No structure was observed around the 1.68 GeV/ c^2 or 1.75 GeV/ c^2 mass regions in the $\gamma p \rightarrow K_S K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} p$ interaction. The discrepancy on the measured mass between experiments could be explained by the interference with other mesons. The probability that the structure around 1.75 GeV/ c^2 observed in the photoproduction process is a new state cannot be ruled out [27].

Therefore, study of the $\phi(1680)$ is necessary to clarify its nature. Based on the prediction of the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model, the branching fraction of $\phi(1680)$ decaying into $\phi\eta$ is smaller than those of K \bar{K} and K*K. This has not been studied yet, but will play an important role in addressing the discrepancies. The data accumulated at BESIII allow us to investigate the $\phi(1680)$ produced in charmonium decays.

2.7 $\phi_3(1850) \ (1^3D_3)$

The $\phi_3(1850)$ was first reported with a mass of $1850\pm10 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and a width of 80^{+40}_{-30} MeV by a bubble chamber experiment [28] in the KK mass spectra in the K⁻p \rightarrow KK Λ interaction. A study of the K*K mass spectrum in the same experiment also indicated a structure around 1.85 GeV/ c^2 and production rate compatible with the KK decay mode. The Omega experiment [29] observed a similar structure in the hypercharge exchange reaction K⁻p \rightarrow KK(Λ/Σ^0). Its spin-parity was determined to be $J^{PC} = 3^{--}$, which is consistent with the absence of $\phi_3(1850)$ in the reaction K⁻p \rightarrow K⁰_SK⁰_S Λ . The high statistics data from the LASS experiment [30] confirmed the existence of $\phi_3(1850)$ and the spin-parity of $J^{PC} = 3^{--}$. The state is interpreted as the ϕ -like of the $J^{PC} = 3^{--}$ nonet.

2.8 $\phi(2170)$ (3³S₁ or 2³D₁)

Another possible strangeonium is the $\phi(2170)$, which was first discovered with a mass of $2175\pm10\pm15 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and a width of $\Gamma = 58 \pm 16 \pm 20$ MeV in the initial state radiation (ISR) process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \phi f_0(980)$ [31]. The BES experiment confirmed this resonance in the hadronic decay of $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi f_0(980)\eta$ [32] and the resonance parameters are measured to be $M = 2186 \pm 10 \pm 6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and $\Gamma = 63 \pm 23 \pm 17$ MeV. In 2007, the Babar experiment updated the results with both decays of $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^$ and $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ [33]. In addition Babar also observed an evident structure in the process of $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $\gamma \phi \eta$ [34]. Belle [35] also observed this structure. The mass, $2079 \pm 13^{+79}_{-28}$ MeV/ c^2 , is consistent with previous reports, while the width $192\pm23^{+25}_{-61}$ MeV, is broader. The discovery of $\phi(2170)$ triggered many theoretical speculations on its nature. Ref [36] performs Faddeev calculations for the three mesons system, ϕKK , and obtains a neat resonance peak around a total mass of 2150 MeV and an invariant mass for the $K\bar{K}$ system around 970 MeV. This finding provides a natural explanation for the $\phi(2170)$. At present, this state is listed in the PDG [27] as the excited ϕ meson, which is also referred to as Y(2175) in the literature.

The theoretical models [37] present many possible decay modes of the $\phi(2170)$. For most of the dominant decays, e.g., $K^*\bar{K}^*$, $K(1460)\bar{K}$, $K^*(1410)\bar{K}$ and $K_1(1270)\bar{K}$, the mass threshold is quite close to the mass of the $\phi(2170)$, and the broad strange meson also makes it difficult to see a clear structure with the complicated final states. Besides $K\bar{K}^*$, the study of the decay modes $\phi\eta$ and $\phi\eta'$ is very helpful to distinguish $\phi(2170)$ among many interpretations.

2.9 Other strangeonium states

Based on the expectations of the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model, orbital and radial excited strangeonium states are summarized in Table 1, where the masses and widths are from the world average values in Ref. [27] or Ref. [2]. Most of the unobserved strangeonium states are in the $1 \sim 2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ mass range; their widths are expected to be broad. Due to the overlap with the $q\bar{q}$ (q=u, d) states, it is hard for an experiment to observe them by bump hunting alone. Thus, high statistics experiments with large acceptance spectrometers and full PWA in several different channels are required to sort out the multi-states and to determine their quantum numbers. The application of the PWA technique necessarily includes information about normal qq mesons, both established states and undiscovered ones. This also applies to the identification of the hybrid mesons with non-exotic quantum numbers that can mix with normal $q\bar{q}$ states. Thus, as part of the program of identifying hybrid mesons, the high statistics data sets will enable the study of ground state $q\bar{q}$ mesons as well.

2.10 Current experiments studying strangeonia

Different experiments, using e^+e^- collision, photoproduction (γp), and hadron production (Kp), have contributed greatly to the understanding of the s \bar{s} spectrum. However, as discussed above, our present information on

$N^{2S+1}L_J$	state	mass (MeV/c^2)	width (MeV)	dominant decays
$1^{1}S_{0}$	η'	$(957.78 \pm 0.06)_{\text{exp.}}$	$(0.198 \pm 0.009)_{\text{exp.}}$	$ππ$ η, $γπ^+π^-$
$1^{3}S_{1}$	φ	$(1019.461 \pm 0.019)_{exp.}$	$(4.266 \pm 0.031)_{\rm exp.}$	KK, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$2^{3}S_{1}$	$\phi(1680)$	$(1680\pm 20)_{\rm exp.}$	$(150\pm50)_{\rm exp.}$	$K\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}, \phi\eta$
$2^{1}S_{0}$	$\eta(1440)$	~ 1440	$11 \sim 100$	$K^*\bar{K}$
$3^{3}S_{1}$	$\phi(2050)$	~ 2050	~ 380	$K^{*}\bar{K},K^{*}\bar{K}^{*},K_{1}(1270)\bar{K},K_{1}(1402)\bar{K}$, $K^{*}(1414)\bar{K},\varphi\eta$
$3^{1}S_{0}$	$\eta_s(1950)$	$\sim \! 1950$	$\sim \! 175$	$K^*\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*, K_0^*(1430)\bar{K}, K^*(1414)\bar{K}$
$1^{3}P_{0}$	$f_0(1500)$	$\sim \! 1500$	279	$K\bar{K}, \eta\eta$
$1^{3}P_{1}$	$f_1(1420)$	$(1426.4 \pm 0.9)_{\text{exp.}}$	$(54.9 \pm 2.6)_{\text{exp.}}$	$K^*\bar{K}$
$1^{3}P_{2}$	$f'_2(1525)$	$(1525\pm5)_{\rm exp.}$	$(73^{+6}_{-5})_{\rm exp.}$	$K\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}, \eta\eta$
$1^{1}P_{1}$	$h_1(1380)$	$(1386 \pm 19)_{\rm exp.}$	$(91 \pm 30)_{exp.}$	$K^*\bar{K}$
$2^{1}P_{1}$	$h_1(1850)$	$\sim \! 1850$	193	$\mathrm{K}^{*}\bar{\mathrm{K}},\mathrm{K}^{*}\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{*},\phi\eta_{\mathrm{s}}$
$2^{3}P_{0}$	$f_0(2000)$	~ 2000	~ 800	$K\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*, K_1(1270)\bar{K}, \eta\eta_s$
$2^{3}P_{1}$	$f_1(1950)$	~ 1950	~ 300	$K^*\bar{K} K^*\bar{K}^*, K_1(1270)\bar{K}, K^*(1410)\bar{K}$
$2^{3}P_{2}$	$f_2(2000)$	~ 2000	$\sim \! 400$	$K^*\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*, K_1(1270)\bar{K}, K_2^*(1430)\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \eta\eta'$
$1^{1}D_{2}$	$\eta_2(1850)$	~ 1850	129	$K^*\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*$
$1^{3}D_{1}$	$\phi(1850)$	$\sim \! 1850$	$\sim\!650$	$K\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}, K_1(1270)\bar{K}, \phi\eta$
$1^{3}D_{2}$	$\phi_2(1850)$	$\sim \! 1850$	214	$\mathrm{K}^* ar{\mathrm{K}}, \mathrm{d} \eta$
$1^{3}D_{3}$	$\phi_{3}(1850)$	$(1854\pm7)_{\rm exp.}$	$(87^{+28}_{-23})_{\rm exp.}$	$K\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}$
$1^{1}F_{3}$	$h_3(2200)$	~ 2200	~ 250	$K^*\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*, K^*_2(1430)K, K^*K_1(1270), \phi\eta$
$1^{3}F_{2}$	$f_2(2200)$	~ 2200	425	$K\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*, K_1(1270)K, K_2^*(1430)K, K^*K_1(1270)$
$1^{3}F_{3}$	$f_3(2200)$	~ 2200	~ 300	$K^*\bar{K}, K^*\bar{K}^*, K_1(1270)K, K_2^*(1430)K, K^*K_1(1270)$
$1^{3}F_{4}$	$f_4(2200)$	~ 2200	$\sim \! 150$	$K\bar{K} K^*\bar{K}, \ \bar{K}^*\bar{K}^*$

Table 1. Summary of strangeonium states and their dominant decays.

the strangeonium spectrum is still far from complete. Even for established strangeonium states, there are still many unresolved issues. Due to the low production rates, broad widths and complex final states, an experiment must have both much higher statistical sensitivity and good acceptance to make a significant contribution to the strangeonium sector. Table 2 summarizes current and future experiments which have the capability of exploring the strangeonium states.

Table 2. Summary of current or future experiments studying strangeonium physics.

experiments	timeline	production
BESIII	2008	charmonia decays, e^+e^- annihilations
CMD-3	2010	e^+e^- annihilations
GlueX	2015	photoproduction
CLAS12	2015	photoproduction
BelleII	2016	ISR
PANDA	2018?	hadronic production

The BESIII detector [21] fulfils these requirements with its excellent performance. The superconduction solenoid and the helium-based drift chamber, covering 93% of the 4π stereo angle, give high acceptance and good momentum resolution; the combination of the Time-Of-Flight (TOF) and dE/dx measurements provide good particle identification; the high-performance CsI calorimeter has an energy resolution of 2% for 1 GeV photons. The available high statistics data offer a unique opportunity to study strangeonium spectroscopy.

In the case of photoproduction, the Jefferson Lab [38] is completing its upgrade of the CEBAF accelerator to 12 GeV electron beam, along with new installations to study meson spectroscopy. The GlueX detector in Hall-D is designed to have a uniform acceptance overall and cover all decay angles, which is essential for amplitude analysis. Using the linearly polarized photon produced by the coherent Bremsstrahlung of the primary electron beam on a diamond radiator, GlueX is capable of searching for exotics as well as performing conventional meson spectroscopy.

With the Forward Tagger Facility, CLAS12 in Hall-B, which has been designed to determine the Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs), will also have the capability to study the meson spectrum using virtual photons.

In the last decade, the KLOE experiment at DA Φ NE played an important role in the study of ϕ , η and η' decays. The KLOE-2 experiment has a plan to collect about 50 fb⁻¹ in several years, which will investigate ϕ , η and η' decays with unprecedented precision. The other two e⁺e⁻ experiments, CMD-3 and SND at VEPP-2000, are collecting data at the center-of-mass of 0.3~2.0 GeV, which mainly focus on the physics in the low energy re-

gion. Recently a preliminary result on the observation of $\phi(1680)$ was reported by CMD-3. The alternative strategy is to use B-factory data, after radiation of a hard initial state photon, to investigate the light hadron spectroscopy; many nice results from Belle and Babar have been summarized in Ref. [39]. In the near future, the Belle-II detector will collect an enormous amount of data for the study of heavy flavor physics, as well as the study of the strangeonium sector with high precision.

On hadron production, the PANDA experiment being constructed at FAIR is a general purpose spectrometer that will map the hadron spectrum, using antiproton beams colliding with an internal proton target. Based on simulations and the studies of specific physics channels, PANDA will be excellent [40] at distinguishing states of interest from the huge number of background events.

3 Study of ss states through charmonium decays

According to the predictions from the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model, most of these states are broad and the decay final states are complicated, so they are usually indistinguishable in the mass spectrum. We therefore need to have an experiment with excellent charged and neutral detection and high statistics to hunt for those states from a large background. The BESIII detector at the BEPCII, running at the energy range of 2–4.6 GeV, may provide an important contribution to this field. The BESIII detector is a large solid-angle magnetic spectrometer with high acceptance, full primary vertex reconstruction and secondary vertex reconstruction for long lived particles such as $K_{\rm S}^0$ and Λ , high particle identification efficiency, good momentum resolution of charged particles and excellent energy resolution of electrons and photons. In addition, the designed peak luminosity of BEPC II , $10^{33}~{\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm s}^{-1}$ at 3.773 GeV, is about 100 times better than its predecessor, which allows us to accumulate the very large data samples in a short period of time. About 1.3 billion J/ψ events¹⁾ and 0.5 billion $\psi(2S)$ events have been collected by the BESIII detector, which will provide a great opportunity to perform experimental studies of strangeonium produced in J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ decays.

The world's largest direct data J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ samples, collected by the BESIII experiment, offer excellent opportunities to detect new s \bar{s} mesons and new states through the decays of the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$. Many J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$ decays to ϕ and η have been well measured. Examples of such decays are $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta K^*\bar{K}^*$, $\phi K^*\bar{K}$, $\phi K\bar{K}$, ϕf , $\phi \pi\pi$, $\phi\eta$, $\eta\pi\pi$. Possible new s \bar{s} states can be probed through the recoil masses of the $K^*\bar{K}^*$, $K^*\bar{K}$, $K\bar{K}$, f, $\pi\pi$, η/ϕ , respectively, based on the expectation that the same pro-

¹⁾ With the same approach as for J/ψ events in 2009 [41], the preliminary number of J/ψ events is determined to be 1086.7×10⁶. The total number of J/ψ events taken in 2009 and 2012 is determined to be $(1310.6\pm10.5)\times10^6$.

cesses that produce the ϕ , η in the J/ ψ , $\psi(2S)$ decays will couple to new s \bar{s} mesons which are kinematically allowed.

The $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$ is a two-body decay and the photon energy is monochromatic, which makes it easy to distinguish the η' from the background. Considering the large branching fraction of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$, the J/ψ data sample offers a clean environment to investigate η' anomalous decays.

The ϕ production rate in J/ ψ hadronic decays is at a level of $3 \times 10^{-4} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$. The ϕ could be easily reconstructed with its dominant K⁺K⁻ decay mode. Of interest is to investigate the mass spectrum recoiling against ϕ to search for the rare decays of η/η' via the two-body decays of J/ $\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta/\eta'$ [42]. In addition, the precision measurement of the full set of J/ ψ decays into a vector and a pseudoscalar pair are also allowed to investigate the pseudoscalar mixing and the gluonic content of the η' .

According to the ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model, the $h_{1}(1380)$ dominantly decays into K*K, whereas $\omega\eta$, and $\rho\pi$ are suppressed due to the OZI rule [43]. The $\phi\eta$ mode could be its favorable decay mode, but is strongly suppressed because of the limited phase space. Therefore the $J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*}K\eta$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow K^{*}K\eta'$ modes would be the most preferable channels to study the $h_{1}(1380)$ at BESIII. In addition, the radiative decays of $h_{1}(1380)$ to η or η' may be reconstructed in J/ψ data, though there is no theoretical prediction for their branching ratios.

4 Hunt for strangeonium-like particles at BESIII

In 2013, BESIII and Belle observed a charged charmonium-like $Z_c^+(3900)$ [44] state, and subsequently several similar structures were reported by the BESIII experiment. These observations inspired an extensive discussion on their internal substructures. Most recently, a neutral partner of the $Z_c^{\pm}(3900)$ has been observed, which indicates that $Z_c^+(3900)$ is part of an isotriplet and suggests a new hadron spectroscopy.

We propose a search for the charged strangeoniumlike structure in the decay $\phi(2170) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\phi$, as in Ref. [45]. Similar to $Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S,2S)$, two charged strangeoniumlike structures are expected to be observed in $\phi(2170) \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-\phi$. Therefore, $\phi\pi$ is an ideal channel to detect strangeonium-like states. Since the isospin of the $\phi\pi$ system is 1, the isosinglet ss state decaying into $\phi\pi$ is suppressed by isospin violation. For the conventional mesons composed of u,d quarks, the $\phi\pi$ decay mode is strongly suppressed by the OZI rule. Therefore the observation of a $\phi\pi$ decay mode may imply an exotic nature. At BESIII, different processes, including ISR, J/ ψ decays and data taken at the peak of the $\phi(2170)$ could be used to make an extensive study of the $\phi(2170)$. First, the ISR process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}(\phi')$ could also be used to study $\phi(2170) \rightarrow \phi \pi \pi$. The most significant data samples recorded by BESIII are ~2.9 fb⁻¹ $\psi(3770)$ and ~3 fb⁻¹ above 3.8 GeV. They are not sufficient for an extensive study of the $\phi(2170)$.

For the $\phi(2170)$ in $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$, the background level is quite high under the $\phi(2170)$, which makes it hard to investigate the $\phi\pi$ for any states with a small production rate. Compared to the other e^+e^- experiments, BEPC II has an advantage in taking data directly at 2.2 GeV. With the assumption of 100 pb⁻¹ data at the peak of $\phi(2170)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\phi(2170) \rightarrow Z_s \pi) \sim 10\%$ $\times \mathcal{B}(\phi(2170) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980))$, the observed number of Z_s events is estimated to be $L \times 10\% \times \sigma(\phi(2170) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980)) \times \varepsilon \times \mathcal{B}(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) \sim 300$, where the efficiency is estimated to be about 50%. This may enable us to investigate the existence of Z_s .

5 Search for new ss mesons in $e^+e^- \rightarrow (s\bar{s})(s\bar{s})$ interactions

We propose to search for new (ss̄) mesons produced in association with a well established (ss̄) state such as the ϕ or η/η' . This is motivated by the large (cc̄)(cc̄) rates reported by the Belle [46] and BaBar [47] experiments which have helped establish the $\eta_c(2S)$ state in the recoil mass spectra against an J/ ψ or an $\psi(2S)$.

Though the mechanism through which large $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$, $\psi(2S)$ +c \bar{c} interactions occur is not well understood, if the same process works for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow (s\bar{s})(s\bar{s})$ process, we can probe an $(s\bar{s})$ system with a fully reconstructed ϕ , η or η' with the BESIII data by examining the recoil side of the event, for which the recoil mass can be calculated by $M_{\rm recoil} = \sqrt{(\sqrt{s} - E_{\phi}^*)^2 - p_{\phi}^{*2}}$. Here the center of mass energy \sqrt{s} is well measured, and the ϕ , η and η' mesons are all narrow, resulting in a very good resolution on the $M_{\rm recoil}$. This approach can probe the $(s\bar{s})$ system and detect $(s\bar{s})$ states on the recoil side without the need to reconstruct specific final states of the $(s\bar{s})$ meson in the recoil.

6 Summary

Though a substantial number of hadrons have been established experimentally, there are predicted light hadrons in the mass region of 1 to 2 GeV/ c^2 , which have not been observed yet. Many of the missing hadrons are strangeonium states. Techniques for searching for new s \bar{s} mesons with the BESIII detector, and topics related to the s \bar{s} states, have been outlined in this paper.

High statistics data accumulated with the BESIII de-

24

tector are important for the investigation of the strangeonium spectroscopy, and will help distinguish exotic states from conventional mesons. BESIII data can enable probing of the meson spectrum with unprecedented detail.

References

- 1 Godfrey S, Isgur N. Phys. Rev. D, 1985, 32: 189
- 2 Barnes T, Black N, Page P R. Phys. Rev. D, 2003, 68: 054014
- 3 Kalbfleisch G R et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1964, **12**: 527; Goldberg M et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1964, **12**: 546
- 4 Hoistadt B et al. arXiv:1102.4999; Adlarson P et al. arXiv:1204.5509; GUO X K, GUO Z H, Oller J A, Sanz-Cillero J J. arXiv:1503.02248
- 5 Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2011,
 83: 012003; Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys.
 Rev. Lett., 2012, 108: 182001; Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 2014, 112: 251801
- 6 Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2013, 87: 032006; Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2013, 88: 091502
- 7 Okubo S. Phys. Lett., 1963, 5: 165; Zweig G. CERN-TH-412, 1964; Iizuka J. Prog. Theor. Phys., 1966, 35: 1061
- 8 Amelino-Camelia G et al. Eur. Phys. J. C, 2010, 68: 619
- 9 Aston D et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1988, **201**: 573
- 10 Abele A et al. (Crystal Barrel collaboration). Phys. Lett. B, 1997, 415: 280
- Lutz M F M, Kolomeitsev E E. Nucl. Phys. A, 2004, 730: 392; Roca L, Oset E, Singh J. Phys. Rev. D, 2005, 72: 014002
- 12 Dionisi C et al. Nucl. Phys. B, 1980, 169: 1
- Armstrong T A et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1984, **146**: 273; Armstrong T A et al. Z. Phys. C, 1987, **34**: 23; Armstrong T A et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1989, **221**: 216
- 14 Aihara H et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1986, 57: 2500; Gidal G et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1987, 59: 2016; Ahohe R et al. (CLEO collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2005, 71: 072001; Achard P et al. (L3 collaboration). JHEP, 2007, 03: 018; Abdallah J et al. (DELPHI collaboration). Phys. Lett. B, 2003, 569: 129
- 15 Ishida S et al. Prog. Theor. Phys., 1989, 82: 119
- 16 Caldwell D O. Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 1987, 2: 771
- 17 Longacre R S. Phys. Rev. D, 1990, 42: 874
- 18 Becker J et al. (MARK III collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 1987, 59: 186
- 19 Ablikim M et al. (BES collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2008, 77: 032005
- 20 BAI Z et al. (MARK III collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 1990,
 65: 2507; Augustin J E et al. (DM2 collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 1992, 46: 1951; BAI J Z et al. (BES collaboration). Phys. Lett. B, 2000, 476: 25
- 21 Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 2011, 106: 072002
- 22 GENG L S, E. Oset. Phys. Rev. D, 2009, 79: 074009
- 23 Martinez Torres A, GENG L S, DAI L R, SUN B X, Oset E, ZOU B S. Phys. Lett. B, 2009, 680: 310; GENG L S, GUO F

K, Hanhart C, Molina R, Oset E, ZOU B S. Eur. Phys. J. A, 2010, **44**: 305; DAI L R, Oset E. Eur. Phys. J. A, 2013, **49**: 130; Dai L R, XIE J J, Oset E. Phys. Rev. D, 2015, **91**: 094013 Mane F et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1982, **112**: 178

- 25 Buon J et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1982, 118: 221; Bisello D et al. (DM2 collaboration). Z. Phys. C, 1988, 39: 13; Bisello D et al. Z. Phys. C, 1991, 52: 227; Akhmetshin R R et al. Phys. Lett. B, 2003, 551: 27
- Aubert B et al. (BaBar collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2008, 77: 092002; SHEN C P et al. (Belle collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2009, 80: 031101
- 27 Olive K A et al. Chin. Phys. C, 2014, **38**: 090001
- 28 Al-Harran S et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1981, **101**: 357
- 29 Armstrong T A et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1982, 110: 77
- 30 Aston D et al. Phys. Lett. B, 1988, $\mathbf{208}:$ 324
- 31 Aubert B et al. (BaBar collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2006, 74: 091103
- 32 Ablikim M et al. (BES collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 2008, 100: 102003
- 33 Aubert B et al. (BaBar collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2008, 77: 092002
- 34 Aubert B et al. (BaBar collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2007, **76**: 012008
- 35 SHEN C P et al. (Belle collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2009, **80**: 031101
- 36 Martinez Torres A, Khemchandani K P, GENG L S, Napsuciale M, Oset E. Phys. Rev. D, 2008, 78: 074031
- 37 Coito S, Rupp G. Phys. Rev. D, 2009, 80: 094011; DING G J, YAN M L. Phys. Lett. B, 2007, 650: 390; DING G J, YAN M L. Phys. Lett. B, 2007, 657: 49
- 38 See the Program Advisory Committee reports. http://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/PACpage/workshops.html
- 39 Druzhinin V P et al. Rev. Mod. Phys., 2011, 83: 1545
- 40 Erni W et al. (PANDA collaboration). arXiv:0903.3905
- Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Chin. Phys. C, 2012, 36: 915
- 42 Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2013,
 87: 012009; Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2015, 91: 052017
- 43 Burakovsky L, Page P R. arXiv:hep-ph/0007199
- 44 Ablikim M et al. (BESIII collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 2013, 110: 252001
- 45 CHEN D Y et al. Eur. Phys. J. C, 2012, 72: 2008
- 46 Abe K et al. (Belle collaboration). Phys. Rev. Lett., 2002, 89: 142001; Abe K et al. (Belle collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2004, 70: 071102
- 47 Aubert B et al. (BaBar collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2005, **72**: 031101