# A study of meson－meson potential in the chiral quark model ${ }^{*}$ 

LI Ming－Tao（李明涛）$)^{1,2 ; 1)}$ DONG Yu－Bing（董宇兵）$)^{1,2 ; 2)}$ ZHANG Zong－Ye（张宗烨）$)^{1,2 ; 3)}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Institute of High Energy Physics，Chinese Academy of Sciences，Beijing 100049，China<br>${ }^{2}$ Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities（TPCSF），CAS，Beijing 100049，China


#### Abstract

An effective potential in a meson－meson system is discussed based on the $S U(3)$ chiral constituent quark model，and the analytic form of the potential is explicitly given．In addition，the effective potential is employed to study the bound state problem of $\omega \phi$ ，which is related to the new resonance of $f_{0}(1810)$ observed in BES II very recently．
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## 1 Introduction

Recently，many new hadronic states have been dis－ covered，such as light new resonances of $\mathrm{X}(1835)$ and $\mathrm{f}_{0}(1810)$ observed by BES II，and the new charmo－ nium or charmonium－like states of $\mathrm{X}(3872), \mathrm{Y}(3940)$ ， $\mathrm{Z}^{+}(4430)$ et al．measured in Belle and BABAR［1－4］． Since it is difficult to accommodate these new reso－ nances in the conventional quark model，and many of them locate just below the threshold of two mesons， some interpretations，like the molecular picture and tetraquark states $[5,6]$ ，which are different from the conventional quark－antiquark constituent quark model，have been proposed to understand their struc－ tures．

Since most of the interpretations in the literature are based on the effective Lagrangians in the hadronic level，a more sophisticated understanding of the new resonances in the quark model is required．We know that the $S U(3)$ chiral constituent quark model is one of the most successful quark models that can well reproduce the nucleon－nucleon，nucleon－hyperon in－ teractions and baryon spectroscopy simultaneously ［7－9］．The model considers the one－boson exchange including the scalar and pseudoscalar mesons，and
its Lagrangian is constrained by the chiral symme－ try．In most calculations based on the $S U(3)$ chi－ ral constituent quark model for the baryon－baryon interactions［7－9］and even for the new resonances ［10，11］，the RGM or GCM methods are often em－ ployed，where the total system is regarded as a com－ posite system with two clusters and the obtained ef－ fective potential is expressed in terms of generator－ coordinates．Here we derive an effective potential be－ tween the two clusters in another way with the chiral quark model．Namely，we try to express the effective potential directly in terms of the relative coordinates between the two clusters other than the generator－ coordinates．It is expected that the potential we have obtained is a more realistic one．Then，we apply this effective potential to study the bound state problem of a $\omega \phi$ system，which is closely related to the new resonance of $f_{0}(1810)$ recently observed in the BES II experiments［2］．

This paper is organized as follows．In Section 2， the analytical effective potential for a meson－meson system is derived based on the $S U(3)$ chiral con－ stituent quark model with one－boson exchange．The numerical result of the effective potential for the $\omega \phi$ system is given in Section 3．A discussion of the

[^0]bound state problem of the $\omega \phi$ system with this potential is given in the last section.

## 2 Analytical effective potential for a meson-meson system

For a system with two mesons, in cluster model, we only need to consider the interaction between different clusters, that is, two different mesons. As a consequence, the sum of that kind of contribution between different clusters gives our total effective potential (see Fig. 1 for an illustration).


Fig. 1. Interactions between clusters.
The total Hamiltonian of such a meson-meson system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=T+V \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have neglected the interaction potentials between two quarks within each cluster. $T$ is the kinetic energy operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sum_{i} T_{i}-T_{\mathrm{cm}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V$ is the potential operator that indicates the interactions between the two mesons,

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\sum_{i \in A, j \in B} V_{i j},  \tag{3}\\
V_{i j} & =V^{\mathrm{OGE}}\left(r_{i j}\right)+V^{\mathrm{conf}}\left(r_{i j}\right)+V^{\mathrm{ch}}\left(r_{i j}\right), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

while $V^{\mathrm{OGE}}\left(r_{i j}\right), V^{\mathrm{conf}}\left(r_{i j}\right)$ and $V^{\mathrm{ch}}\left(r_{i j}\right)$ are respectively one-gluon-exchange potential, confinement potential and one-meson-exchange potential between the $i$-th quark in cluster $A$ and the $j$-th quark in cluster $B$, with $i=(1,2)$ and $j=(3,4)$. The forms of one-gluon-exchange potential and confinement potential have been shown in Ref. [12].

The chiral Lagrangian of the quark-quark interaction under the $S U(3)$ chiral quark model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\mathrm{ch}}=-g_{\mathrm{ch}} F\left(\boldsymbol{q}^{2}\right) \bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{a=0}^{8} \lambda_{a} \sigma_{a}+\mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \sum_{a=0}^{8} \lambda_{a} \pi_{a}\right) \psi . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\lambda^{a}$ indicates the Gellman flavor matrix, $\sigma^{a}$ indicates scalar mesons, $\pi^{a}$ stands for pseudoscalar
mesons, and $F\left(\boldsymbol{q}^{2}\right)$ expresses the form factor of the chiral field with the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\boldsymbol{q}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}+\boldsymbol{q}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the non-relativistic limit, we get the quark-quark interaction in momentum space. After the Fourier transformation, we reach the potential in coordinate space. From Ref. [9], we know that the central part of the potential with the scalar meson exchanges is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{cen}}^{\sigma_{a}}\left(r_{i j}\right)=-\frac{g_{\mathrm{ch}}^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{\Lambda^{2} m_{a}}{\Lambda^{2}-m_{a}^{2}} Y_{1}\left(r_{i j}\right) \lambda_{i}^{a} \lambda_{j}^{a}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the one with the pseudoscalar meson exchange is

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\mathrm{cen}}^{\pi_{a}}\left(r_{i j}\right)= & \frac{g_{\mathrm{ch}}^{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{\Lambda^{2} m_{a}^{3}}{\Lambda^{2}-m_{a}^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{i} m_{j}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{12} Y_{3}\left(r_{i j}\right)\left(\sigma_{i} \cdot \sigma_{j}\right) \lambda_{i}^{a} \lambda_{j}^{a}, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ denote the masses of $i$-th quark in cluster $A$ and $j$-th quark in cluster $B . \lambda^{a}(a=0, \cdots, 8)$ in Eqs. $(7,8)$ indicate flavor matrices correspondence to scalar mesons $\sigma^{a}$ or pseudoscalar mesons $\pi^{a}$. In the two equations we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1}\left(r_{i j}\right)=Y\left(m_{a} r_{i j}\right)-\frac{\Lambda}{m_{a}} Y\left(\Lambda r_{i j}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& Y_{3}\left(r_{i j}\right)=Y\left(m_{a} r_{i j}\right)-\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m_{a}}\right)^{3} Y\left(\Lambda r_{i j}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Yukawa function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(x)=\frac{1}{x} \mathrm{e}^{-x} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $m_{a}$ denotes the meson mass in scalar or pseudoscalar nonets. Now the chiral potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\text {ch }}\left(r_{i j}\right)=\sum_{a} V^{\sigma_{a}}\left(r_{i j}\right)+\sum_{a} V^{\pi_{a}}\left(r_{i j}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effective potentials in Eqs. $(7,8)$ have three parts: orbital, associated with $r$; spin, associated with $\sigma_{i} \cdot \sigma_{j}$, which only appears in the pseudoscalar meson case in Eq. (8); and flavor, associated with $\lambda_{i}^{a} \lambda_{j}^{a}$. Except for the orbital part, the other two parts are easy to deal with. As a result, we focus our attention on the orbital one in this paper.

Defining the Jacobi coordinates as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}=\overrightarrow{r_{1}}-\overrightarrow{r_{2}}  \tag{13}\\
\overrightarrow{R_{1}}=\frac{m_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+m_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \\
\overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}=\overrightarrow{r_{3}}-\overrightarrow{r_{4}} \\
\overrightarrow{R_{2}}=\frac{m_{3} \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+m_{4} \overrightarrow{r_{4}}}{m_{3}+m_{4}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}$ are respectively the relative coordinates within the two clusters, and $\overrightarrow{R_{1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{R_{2}}$ the center-of-mass coordinates, the single particle coordinates can then be re-written in terms of the new Jacobi corrdinates as

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \overrightarrow{r_{1}}=\overrightarrow{R_{1}}+\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}  \tag{14}\\
& \overrightarrow{r_{2}}=\overrightarrow{R_{1}}-\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}} \\
& \overrightarrow{r_{3}}=\overrightarrow{R_{2}}+\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}} \\
& \overrightarrow{r_{4}}=\overrightarrow{R_{2}}-\frac{m_{3}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Moreover, $\overrightarrow{r_{13}}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{r_{13}}=\vec{\xi}+\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}-\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\vec{\xi}=\overrightarrow{R_{1}}-\overrightarrow{R_{2}}$ being the relative coordinates between the two clusters.

Usually we take a Guassian-like wavefunction for the orbital wave-function of each quark in the cluster for simplity. This is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(r)=\left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{q}} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{m_{\mathrm{q}} \omega}{2} r^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ and $m_{\mathrm{q}}$ stand for the coordinate and the mass of each quark respectively, and the parameter $\omega$ is chosen as $0.5 \mathrm{GeV} \approx 2.522 \mathrm{fm}^{-1}$ traditionally. The normalized orbital wavefunction of cluster $A$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{A_{o}}=\left(\frac{m_{1} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4}\left(\frac{m_{2} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(m_{1} r_{1}^{2}+m_{2} r_{2}^{2}\right)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also true for cluster $B$. Then the total orbital wavefunction of cluster $A$ and cluster $B$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\varphi_{A_{o}} \varphi_{B_{o}}\right\rangle= & \left(\frac{m_{1} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4}\left(\frac{m_{2} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4}\left(\frac{m_{3} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4} \\
& \times\left(\frac{m_{4} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(m_{1} r_{1}^{2}+m_{2} r_{2}^{2}+m_{3} r_{3}^{2}+m_{4} r_{4}^{2}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The wave function (18) of the system can be written in terms of the newly defined Jacobi coordinates in Eq. (13) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\varphi_{A_{o}} \varphi_{B_{o}}\right\rangle \\
= & \left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4}\left(\frac{M \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4}\left(\frac{\mu_{12,34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 4} \\
& \times \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\mu_{12} \xi_{1}^{2}+\mu_{12} \xi_{2}^{2}+M R_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+\mu_{12,34} \xi^{2}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{12} & =\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \\
\mu_{34} & =\frac{m_{3} m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \\
M & =m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{4} \\
\mu_{12,34} & =\frac{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{4}}  \tag{20}\\
\vec{\xi} & =\overrightarrow{R_{1}}-\overrightarrow{R_{2}} \\
\overrightarrow{R_{c}} & =\frac{m_{1} \overrightarrow{r_{1}}+m_{2} \overrightarrow{r_{2}}+m_{3} \overrightarrow{r_{3}}+m_{4} \overrightarrow{r_{4}}}{m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

The total effective potential should only have relevance to the relative coordinates between the two clusters, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
V(\xi) & =\sum_{i j} V_{i j}(\xi)  \tag{21}\\
V_{i j}(\vec{\xi}) & =V_{i j}^{\mathrm{OGE}}(\xi)+V_{i j}^{\mathrm{conf}}(\xi)+V_{i j}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\xi) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{i j}(\xi)$ is the effective interaction between the $i$-th quark in cluster $A$ and the $j$-th quark in cluster $B$. This is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}(\xi)=\frac{\left\langle\varphi_{A o} \varphi_{B o}\right| V\left(r_{i j}\right) \mathcal{A}\left|\varphi_{A o} \varphi_{B o}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\varphi_{A o} \varphi_{B o}\right| \mathcal{A}\left|\varphi_{A o} \varphi_{B o}\right\rangle} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq.(23), $\mathcal{A}$ is the anti-symmetrization operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\left(1-P_{13}\right)\left(1-P_{24}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are four terms in Eq. (23), and here we take the direct term as an example for our manipulation, which has no relation with the exchange operator $P_{i j}$.

We see in Eqs. $(7,8)$ that all of the meson exchange potentials are the algebraic sum of two Yukawa potentials with different parameters and coefficients, so we just calculate the effective potential with a simple Yukawa form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}\left(r_{i j}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m r_{i j}}}{r_{i j}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{i j}(\xi)=\frac{\left\langle\varphi_{A \circ} \varphi_{B \mathrm{o}}\right| \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m r_{i j}}}{r_{i j}} \mathcal{A}\left|\varphi_{A \mathrm{o}} \varphi_{B \mathrm{o}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\varphi_{A \mathrm{o}} \varphi_{B \mathrm{o}}\right| \mathcal{A}\left|\varphi_{A \mathrm{o}} \varphi_{B \mathrm{o}}\right\rangle} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $i, j=1,3$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}_{13}(\xi) & =\int \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}} \int \mathrm{~d} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m r_{13}}}{r_{13}}\left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\omega\left(\mu_{12} \xi_{1}^{2}+\mu_{12} \xi_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}} \int \mathrm{~d} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m\left|\xi+\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}-\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}\right|}}{\left|\xi+\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}-\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}\right|}\left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\omega\left(\mu_{12} \xi_{1}^{2}+\mu_{12} \xi_{2}^{2}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

After some manipulations (see Appendix A), and potential, taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{\mu_{12} \mu_{34} \omega}{\mu_{12}\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we finally reach

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}_{13}(\xi)= & \frac{1}{2 \xi} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{m^{2}}{4 \beta}}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-m \xi}\left\{1-\operatorname{erf}\left[-\sqrt{\beta}\left(\xi-\frac{m}{2 \beta}\right)\right]\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-\mathrm{e}^{m \xi}\left\{1-\operatorname{erf}\left[\sqrt{\beta}\left(\xi+\frac{m}{2 \beta}\right)\right]\right\}\right\} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, one can easily deduce the other three $\mathcal{V}_{i j}$, while the only difference is the value and the form of $\beta$ in Eq. (28).

Applying this result to Eqs. (7-10), we could get the orbital part of the meson-exchange potential in the direct term in Eq. (23). Applying this method, we could get the one-gluon-exchange potential and confinement potential between the two clusters. The sum of meson-exchange potential, one-gluon-exchange potential and confinement potential gives the contribution of the direct term in Eq. (23). The process to handle the exchange terms in Eq. (23), which are associated with $P_{i j}$, resembles what has been done to the direct term. Other parts of this potential, like the spin and flavor ones, could be easily obtained (see Ref. [12]).

## 3 The numerical result of the effective potential for the $\boldsymbol{\omega} \phi$ system

Here, we will employ our formalism to study the bound state problem of the $\omega \phi$ system. The flavor part of the wavefunction of $\omega \phi$ system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{\omega_{f}} \varphi_{\phi_{f}}\right\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathrm{u} \overline{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{~d}})(\mathrm{s}, \overline{\mathrm{~s}})\right\rangle . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because there are no identical flavor quarks in the two different color singlet clusters, the one-gluonexchange potential and confinement potential don't exist in $\omega \phi$ system. Then, the realistic effective potential is the total contribution of the meson-exchange

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\xi)=\sum_{i j} \frac{\left\langle\varphi_{\omega} \varphi_{\phi}\right| V^{\mathrm{ch}}\left(r_{i j}\right) \mathcal{A}\left|\varphi_{\omega} \varphi_{\phi}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\varphi_{\omega} \varphi_{\phi}\right| \mathcal{A}\left|\varphi_{\omega} \varphi_{\phi}\right\rangle} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the flavor part of $V^{\mathrm{ch}}\left(r_{i j}\right)$ in Eqs. (7, 8, 12), we know that only certain kinds of mesonexchange can exist in Eq. (31). From PDG [13], we know $m_{\mathrm{K}}=494 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\mathrm{n}}=548 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}}=958 \mathrm{MeV}$, $m_{\omega}=782 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m_{\phi}=1020 \mathrm{MeV}$. To get a reliable result, we use the following parameters with which the spectroscopy of baryons can be well fitted [7, 8]: the scalar meson masses as $m_{\sigma}=595 \mathrm{MeV}$, $m_{\epsilon}=980 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\kappa}=980 \mathrm{MeV}$, the cutoff mass as $\Lambda=1100 \mathrm{MeV}$, the quark masses as $m_{\mathrm{u}}=313 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m_{\mathrm{s}}=470 \mathrm{MeV}, \omega=2.522 \mathrm{fm}^{-1}$, and the quark and chiral field coupling constant $g_{\mathrm{ch}}=2.621$. After elaborate investigation, we know that in the direct term of Eq. (31), there are four pairs of $\sigma, \epsilon, \eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ exchange, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2 with $\sigma$ shown in the short dot line, $\epsilon$ the dash line, $\eta$ the dot line and $\eta^{\prime}$ the dash dot line. In the exchange terms of Eq. (31), there are two pairs of k and K exchange, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3, with K shown in the short dash line, K the dash dot dot line.


Fig. 2. The direct term's contribution to the total potential.

From Figs. 2, 3, we see that the contributions of $\sigma$ exchange, $\eta$ exchange and $\kappa$ exchange are attractive, while the contributions of $\epsilon$ exchange, $\eta^{\prime}$ exchange and K exchange are repulsive. These features of the effective chiral meson exchange potentials are consistent with the results of RGM calculation [11].


Fig. 3. The exchange term's contribution to the total potential.

Now we can easily determine the total potential as the sum of the contribution, as shown in Figs. 2, 3. It is shown in Fig. 4 with the solid line in comparison with the different part contributions.


Fig. 4. The total potential and the contribution of all of the different parts.

Finally, we will trace out whether a $\omega \phi$ quasibound state can exist. Since we have deduced the realistic effective potential, which is expressed in terms of relative coordinates between $\omega$ and $\phi$ and chosen the mass of $\sigma$ as 595 MeV , we can solve the Schrödinger Equation and work out the existance of an eigenfunction and an eigenvalue. For this purpose, we use the computer program developed by Lucha and Schöberl [16]. Unfortunately, we find that there isn't any eigenfunction or eigenvalue. Then we can draw the conclusion that $\omega$ and $\phi$ can't form a steady system in our approach, which confirms the conclusion of Ref. [11]. We also notice that if the mass of $\sigma$ is readjusted to 520 MeV , this system would have one eigenstate with an eigenvalue of 0.26 MeV .

## 4 Summary

The main purpose of this paper is to deduce an analytical form of the interaction potential between different clusters in the $S U(3)$ chiral quark model. Compared with the generator-coordinate method in solving this problem, our method is more realistic. More importantly, the short-range behavior of the two clusters could be clearly worked out. This method could be generalized to a more practical form of the potential, which would include the tensor interaction terms and spin-orbital coupling terms. It could also be applied to other hadronic molecules and pentaquark systems.

Note: After completing this typescript, we noticed the papers by Wong [14] and Ding [15]. They derived the effective potentials for meson-meson interaction induced by gluon exchanges. Moreover, the properties of the new resonances of $\mathrm{X}(3872)$ and $\mathrm{Z}(4430)$ are studied based on the effective potentials.

The authors wish to thank Professor Franz F. Schöberl for many useful discussions.

## Appendix A

For Eq. (27), to further simplify our derivation, we make the other coordinates transformations,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\vec{X}=\vec{\xi}+\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}-\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}  \tag{A1}\\
\vec{Y}=\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}+\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}} \overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The inverse transformations are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overrightarrow{\xi_{1}}=\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \vec{Y}+\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})  \tag{A2}\\
\overrightarrow{\xi_{2}}=\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \vec{Y}-\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, $\mathcal{V}_{13}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}_{13}(\xi)= & \left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{Y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m X}}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{12} \omega\left[\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}} \vec{Y}+\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})\right]^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{34} \omega\left[\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \vec{Y}-\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})\right]^{2}} \\
= & \left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{Y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m X}}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{12} \omega\left[\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2} Y^{2}+\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}}\right)^{2}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}\right]} \\
& \times \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{34} \omega\left[\frac{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)} \vec{Y} \cdot(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{12} \omega\left[\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2} Y^{2}+\left(\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}}\right)^{2}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}\right]} \mathrm{e}^{\mu_{34} \omega\left[\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \vec{Y} \cdot(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})\right]}} \begin{aligned}
= & \left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{Y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m X}}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{12} \omega\left[\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2} Y^{2}+\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}}\right)^{2}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}\right]} \\
& \times \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{12} \omega\left[\frac{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)} \vec{Y} \cdot(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{34} \omega\left[\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2} Y^{2}+\left(\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}}\right)^{2}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}\right]} \mathrm{e}^{\mu_{34} \omega\left[\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \vec{Y} \cdot(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})\right]}} \\
= & \left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{Y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m X}}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] Y^{2}} \\
& \times \mathrm{e}^{-\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}}\right)^{2}\right](\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\left[-\mu_{12} \omega \frac{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}+\mu_{34} \omega \frac{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}\right] \vec{Y} \cdot(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})} .
\end{aligned},(\mathrm{A} 3
\end{align*}
$$

According to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a Y^{2}+b X^{2}+c X \cdot Y=a\left(Y+\frac{c}{2 a} X\right)^{2}+\left(b-\frac{c^{2}}{4 a}\right) X^{2} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{13}(\xi)=\left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{Y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m X}}{X} \mathrm{e}^{M} \mathrm{e}^{N} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
M & \left.=-\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]\left\{Y+\frac{\mu_{12} \omega \frac{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}-\mu_{34} \omega \frac{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}}{2\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]} \vec{X}-\vec{\xi}\right)\right\} \\
& =-\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] Y^{\prime 2}, \\
N & =-\left\{\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2 m_{2}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{3}+m_{4}}{2 m_{4}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\left.\mu_{12} \omega \frac{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}-\mu_{34} \omega \frac{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}\right]^{2}}{4\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]}\right\}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}  \tag{A6}\\
& =-\frac{\mu_{12} \mu_{34} \omega}{\mu_{12}\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, after making another coordinate transformation to $Y$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}=\frac{\mu_{12} \omega \frac{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}-\mu_{34} \omega \frac{m_{2}\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)}{m_{4}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}}{2\left[\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]}(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})+Y \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\mu_{12} \omega\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34} \omega\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}, \\
& \beta=\frac{\mu_{12} \mu_{34} \omega}{\mu_{12}\left(\frac{m_{4}}{m_{3}+m_{4}}\right)^{2}+\mu_{34}\left(\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}\right)^{2}}, \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{13}(\xi)=\left(\frac{\mu_{12} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\mu_{34} \omega}{\pi}\right)^{3 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{Y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-m X}}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha Y^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \mathrm{d} \vec{X} \frac{1}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-m X-\beta(\vec{X}-\vec{\xi})^{2}} & =\mathrm{e}^{-\beta \xi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d} \vec{X} \frac{1}{X} \mathrm{e}^{-m X-\beta X^{2}+2 \vec{X} \cdot \vec{\xi}} \\
& =\frac{\pi \sqrt{\pi}}{2 \beta \sqrt{\beta \xi}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{m^{2}}{4 \beta}}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-m \xi}\left\{1-\operatorname{erf}\left[-\sqrt{\beta}\left(\xi-\frac{m}{2 \beta}\right)\right]\right\}-\mathrm{e}^{m \xi}\left\{1-\operatorname{erf}\left[\sqrt{\beta}\left(\xi+\frac{m}{2 \beta}\right)\right]\right\}\right\}  \tag{A10}\\
\int \mathrm{d} \vec{Y} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha Y^{2}} & =\frac{\pi \sqrt{\pi}}{\alpha \sqrt{\alpha}} \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

We finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{13}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \xi} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{m^{2}}{4 \beta}}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-m \xi}\left\{1-\operatorname{erf}\left[-\sqrt{\beta}\left(\xi-\frac{m}{2 \beta}\right)\right]\right\}-\mathrm{e}^{m \xi}\left\{1-\operatorname{erf}\left[\sqrt{\beta}\left(\xi+\frac{m}{2 \beta}\right)\right]\right\}\right\} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is what we want in Eq. (29).
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