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#### Abstract

The isospin excitation states and electromagnetic transitions of the ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus are studied with the isospin－dependent interacting boson model（IBM－3）．The mixed symmetry states at low spin and the main components of the wave function for some states are also analyzed．The results show good agreement with the available experimental data．From the IBM－3 Hamiltonian expressed in Casimir operator form，the ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ is also proved to be a transition nuclei from $U(5)$ to $S U(3)$ ．
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## 1 Introduction

The studies of nuclei deformation，structure， phase transition and mass measurement have great significance in nuclear physics and astrophysics［1，2］． Great progress had been made by scientists in the re－ search field of normal deformation，super deformation and giant deformation［3］．

Nuclei that near the beta stability line $(Z \approx N)$ have been an interesting research field during the last few years $[4-8]$ ．The structure of these nuclei provides a sensitive test for the isospin symmetry of nuclear force，which is very important．

The Interacting boson model（IBM）is an alge－ braic model that can be used in the study of nu－ clear collective motions［9－11］．In the original ver－ sion（IBM－1），only one kind of boson is considered and it has been successful in describing various prop－ erties of medium and heavy even－even nuclei［12－16］． In the second version of the interacting boson model （IBM－2），the bosons are further classified into proton－ boson and neutron－boson and mixed symmetry in the proton and neutron degrees of freedom has been pre－ dicted［17］．The valence protons and neutrons in light nuclei are filling the same major shell and the isospin effect should also be considered，so the IBM has been extended to the isospin－dependent interacting boson model（IBM－3）［18］．In the IBM－3，three types of
bosons including proton－proton $(\pi)$ ，neutron－neutron $(\nu)$ and proton－neutron $(\delta)$ are considered and they form the isospin $T=1$ triplet．The microscopic foun－ dation of IBM－3 is based on the shell model［19］． Because the protons and neutrons in the lighter nu－ clei region are in the same major shell，the IBM－3 can describe the low－energy levels of some nuclei well and explain their isospin and F－spin symmetry struc－ ture $[6-8,20-21]$ ．And with the IBM，we have stud－ ied many nuclei such as ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Ar},{ }^{28} \mathrm{Si},{ }^{44} \mathrm{Ti},{ }^{52} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{68} \mathrm{Ge}$ ， ${ }^{140-162} \mathrm{Gd},{ }^{164-182} \mathrm{Hf}$ ，etc．［22－28］．

The dynamical symmetry group for IBM－3 is $U(18)$ ，which starts with $U_{\text {sd }}(6) \times U_{\mathrm{c}}(3)$ and must con－ tain $S U_{\mathrm{T}}(2)$ and $O_{\mathrm{d}}(3)$ as subgroups because both the isospin and the angular momentum are good quantum numbers．The natural chains of IBM－3 group $U(18)$ are the following［29］：

$$
\begin{align*}
U(18) \supset & \left(U_{\mathrm{c}}(3) \supset S U_{\mathrm{T}}(2)\right) \\
& \times\left(U_{\mathrm{sd}}(6) \supset U_{\mathrm{d}}(5) \supset O_{\mathrm{d}}(5) \supset O_{\mathrm{d}}(3)\right),  \tag{1}\\
U(18) \supset & \left(U_{\mathrm{c}}(3) \supset S U_{\mathrm{T}}(2)\right) \\
& \times\left(U_{\mathrm{sd}}(6) \supset O_{\mathrm{sd}}(6) \supset O_{\mathrm{d}}(5) \supset O_{\mathrm{d}}(3)\right),  \tag{2}\\
U(18) \supset & \left(U_{\mathrm{c}}(3) \supset S U_{\mathrm{T}}(2)\right) \\
& \times\left(U_{\mathrm{sd}}(6) \supset S U_{\mathrm{sd}}(3) \supset O_{\mathrm{d}}(3)\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The subgroups $U_{\mathrm{d}}(5), O_{\mathrm{sd}}(6)$ and $S U_{\text {sd }}(3)$ are used to describe vibrational，$\gamma$－unstable and rotational

[^0]nuclei respectively [30, 31]. Our cooperaters have studied the ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus recently, calculated the energy level and analyzed the mixed symmetry states at low spin and achieved good results [32]. ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ is an even-even nucleus which belongs to the lighter nuclei region. We study the isospin excitation states, electromagnetic transitions and mixed symmetry states at low spin for ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus within the framework of the interacting boson model (IBM-3). The main components of the wave function for some states are also analyzed respectively.

## 2 The IBM-3 Hamiltonian and the parameter

The isospin-invariant IBM-3 Hamiltonian can be written as [19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s}} \hat{n}_{\mathrm{s}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{d}} \hat{n}_{\mathrm{d}}+H_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{2}= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L_{2} T_{2}} C_{L_{2} T_{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\right)^{L_{2} T_{2}} \cdot(\tilde{\mathrm{~d}} \tilde{\mathrm{~d}})^{L_{2} T_{2}}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{T_{2}} B_{0 T_{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\dagger} \mathrm{s}^{\dagger}\right)^{0 T_{2}} \cdot(\tilde{\mathrm{~s}} \tilde{\mathrm{~s}})^{0 T_{2}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{T_{2}} A_{2 T_{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\right)^{2 T_{2}} \cdot(\tilde{\mathrm{~d}} \tilde{\mathrm{~s}})^{2 T_{2}}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{T_{2}} D_{2 T_{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\right)^{2 T_{2}} \cdot(\tilde{\mathrm{~d}} \tilde{\mathrm{~d}})^{2 T_{2}}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{T_{2}} G_{0 T_{2}}\left[\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\dagger} \mathrm{s}^{\dagger}\right)^{0 T_{2}} \cdot(\tilde{\mathrm{~d}} \tilde{\mathrm{~d}})^{0 T_{2}}\right] \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\dagger} \mathrm{b}_{2}^{\dagger}\right)^{L_{2} T_{2}} \cdot\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~b}}_{3} \tilde{\mathrm{~b}}_{4}\right)^{L_{2} T_{2}} \\
= & (-1)^{\left(L_{2}+T_{2}\right)} \sqrt{\left(2 L_{2}+1\right)\left(2 T_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \times\left[\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\dagger} \mathrm{b}_{2}^{\dagger}\right)^{L_{2} T_{2}} \cdot\left(\tilde{\mathrm{~b}}_{3} \tilde{\mathrm{~b}}_{4}\right)^{L_{2} T_{2}}\right]^{00},  \tag{6}\\
\tilde{\mathrm{~b}}_{l m, m_{z}}= & (-1)^{\left(l+m+1+m_{z}\right)} b_{l-m-m_{z}}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{2}$ and $L_{2}$ represent the two-boson system isospin and angular momentum. The parameters $A$, $B, C, D$ and $G$ are the two-body matrix elements. $A_{T_{2}}=\langle s d 20| H_{2}|s d 20\rangle, T_{2}=0,1,2 ; B_{T_{2}}=\left\langle s^{2} 0 T_{2}\right|$ $H_{2}\left|s^{2} 0 T_{2}\right\rangle, G_{T_{2}}=\left\langle s^{2} 0 T_{2}\right| H_{2}\left|d^{2} 0 T_{2}\right\rangle, D_{T_{2}}=\left\langle s d 2 T_{2}\right|$ $H_{2}\left|d^{2} 2 T_{2}\right\rangle$ and $C_{L_{2} T_{2}}=\left\langle d^{2} L_{2} T_{2}\right| H_{2}\left|d^{2} L_{2} T_{2}\right\rangle$, with $T_{2}=0,2, L_{2}=0,2,4 ; C_{L_{2} 1}=\left\langle d^{2} L_{2} 1\right| H_{2}\left|d^{2} L_{2} 1\right\rangle$ with $L_{2}=1,3$. The parameters $A_{1}, C_{11}, C_{31}$ are the Majorana parameters which are similar to the ones in IBM-2. These interactions are important for shifting
the states with mixed symmetry with respect to the total symmetric ones.

IBM-3 Hamiltonian can be expressed in Casimir operator form, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\text {Casimir }}= & \lambda C_{2 U_{\mathrm{sd}}(6)}+a_{\mathrm{T}} T(T+1) \\
& +a_{1} C_{1 U_{\mathrm{d}}(5)}+a_{2} C_{2 U_{\mathrm{d}}(5)} \\
& +a_{3} C_{2 S U_{\mathrm{sd}}(3)}+a_{4} C_{2 O_{\mathrm{d}}(5)} \\
& +a_{5} C_{2 O_{\mathrm{d}}(3)}+a_{6} C_{2 O_{\mathrm{d}}(6)}, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ parameter is used to determine the position of the mixed symmetry states. By fitting to the experimental spectra, the parameters in the Hamiltonian can be determined. The low-lying levels of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ can be described as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\text {Casimir }}= & 0.359 C_{2 U_{\mathrm{sd}}(6)}+0.361 T(T+1) \\
& +0.093 C_{1 U_{\mathrm{d}}(5)}+0.611 C_{2 U_{\mathrm{d}}(5)} \\
& -0.175 C_{2 S U_{\mathrm{sd}}(3)}+0.126 C_{2 O_{\mathrm{d}}(5)} \\
& -0.01 C_{2 O_{\mathrm{d}}(3)}+0.009 C_{2 O_{\mathrm{d}}(6)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

From the IBM-3 Hamiltonian expressed in Casimir operator form, we can see that the interaction strength of $C_{1 U_{\mathrm{d}}(5)}$ is 0.093 and that of $C_{2 S U_{\mathrm{sd}}(3)}$ is 0.175 , so ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ is in transition from $U(5)$ to $S U(3)$.

## 3 Energy levels

By the computation program written by Van Isacker [33], the energy levels and wave function are given. The parameters of the calculation are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. The parameters of the IBM-3 Hamiltonian of the ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus.

| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{d} \rho}(\rho=\pi, \nu, \delta)$ | 4.763 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{s} \rho}(\rho=\pi, \nu, \delta)$ | 1.171 |  | 0.758 |
| $A_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ | -1.408 | -0.758 | -0.714 |
| $C_{i 0}(i=0,2,4)$ | -0.114 | 1.876 | 1.452 |
| $C_{i 2}(i=0,2,4)$ | 2.052 | 4.042 |  |
| $C_{i 1}(i=1,3)$ | -0.832 | -2.232 |  |
| $B_{i}(i=0,2)$ | -0.726 | 1.440 |  |
| $D_{i}(i=0,2)$ | 1.310 | 1.310 |  |
| $G_{i}(i=0,2)$ | -1.525 | -1.525 |  |

The calculated and experimental energy levels are exhibited in Fig. 1. The theoretical calculations are in agreement with the experimental data when the spin value is below $8^{+}$.

We have analyzed the wave function of the $0_{1}^{+}, 2_{1}^{+}$, $4_{1}^{+}, 6_{1}^{+}, 1_{1}^{+}$and $3_{2}^{+}$states, they are:
$\left|0_{1}^{+}\right\rangle=-0.5723\left|s_{v}^{3} s_{\pi}^{2}\right\rangle-0.4215\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} d_{\nu} d_{\pi}\right\rangle+$ $0.3304\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} s_{\delta}^{2}\right\rangle-0.2980\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi}^{2} d_{v}^{2}\right\rangle-0.2023\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi}^{4}\right\rangle+\cdots$,
$\left|2_{1}^{+}\right\rangle=0.4929\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi}^{2} d_{\nu}\right\rangle-0.4025\left|s_{v}^{3} s_{\pi} d_{\pi}\right\rangle-0.2649 \mid$ $\left.s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} d_{\nu} d_{\pi}\right\rangle-0.2324\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} s_{\delta} d_{\delta}\right\rangle-0.2324\left|s_{v} s_{\pi} s_{\delta}^{2} d_{\nu}\right\rangle+\cdots$,
$\left|4_{1}^{+}\right\rangle=0.5441\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} d_{\nu} d_{\pi}\right\rangle+0.3848\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi}^{2} d_{v}^{2}\right\rangle+$ $0.2565\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi} s_{\delta} d_{\nu} d_{\delta}\right\rangle+0.2221\left|s_{v}^{3} d_{\pi}^{2}\right\rangle+\cdots$,
$\left|6_{1}^{+}\right\rangle=-0.5893\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi} d_{v}^{2} d_{\pi}\right\rangle-0.4129\left|s_{v}^{2} d_{\nu} d_{\pi}^{2}\right\rangle+$ $0.2752\left|s_{\nu} s_{\delta} d_{\nu} d_{\pi} d_{\delta}\right\rangle-0.2384\left|s_{\pi}^{2} d_{v}^{3}\right\rangle+\cdots$,
$\left|1_{1}^{+}\right\rangle=0.5799\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} d_{\nu} d_{\pi}\right\rangle-0.5022\left|s_{\delta}^{3} d_{\nu} d_{\delta}\right\rangle+$ $0.2574\left|s_{\delta}^{2} d_{\nu} d_{\delta}^{2}\right\rangle+0.2460\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi} s_{\delta} d_{\nu} d_{\delta}\right\rangle+\cdots$,
$\left|3_{2}^{+}\right\rangle=-0.6097\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\pi} d_{v} d_{\pi}\right\rangle+0.5280\left|s_{\delta}^{3} d_{\nu} d_{\delta}\right\rangle-$ $0.2587\left|s_{\nu} s_{\pi} s_{\delta} d_{\nu} d_{\delta}\right\rangle-0.2439\left|s_{v}^{2} s_{\delta} d_{\pi} d_{\delta}\right\rangle+\cdots$,

We found that the main components of the wave function for the states above are $s^{N}, s^{N-1} d, s^{N-2} d^{2}$, $s^{N-3} d^{3}$, etc. configurations. The wave function of these states contains a significant amount of $\delta$ boson


Fig. 1. Comparison between the lowest excitation energy bands of the IBM-3 calculation and the experimental excitation energies of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$.


Fig. 2. Variation in level energy of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ as a function of $C_{11}$ respectively.
component, which shows that it is necessary to consider the isospin effect for the light nuclei. The parameters $C_{11}$ and $C_{31}$ are the Majorana parameter, which have a very large effect on the energy levels of the mixed symmetry state. From Figs. 2 and Fig. 3, we can see that the $1_{1}^{+}$and $3_{2}^{+}$states have a large change with the parameters $C_{11}$ and $C_{31}$ respectively, which shows that the $1_{1}^{+}$and $3_{2}^{+}$states are mixed symmetry states.


Fig. 3. Variation in level energy of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ as a function of and $C_{31}$ respectively.

## 4 Electromagnetic transition

In the IBM-3 model, the quadrupole operator is expressed as [29]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=Q^{0}+Q^{1}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{0}=\alpha_{0} \sqrt{3}\left[\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{20}+\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{20}\right]+\beta_{0} \sqrt{3}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{20}  \tag{11}\\
& Q^{1}=\alpha_{1} \sqrt{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{21}+\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{21}\right]+\beta_{1} \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{21} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The M1 transition is also a one-boson operator with an isoscalar part and an isovector part

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M^{0}+M^{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{0} & =g_{0} \sqrt{3}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{10}=g_{0} L / \sqrt{10}  \tag{14}\\
M^{1} & =g_{1} \sqrt{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{11} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ are the isoscalar and isovector $g$ factors respectively and $L$ is the angular momentum operator. For the ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$, the parameters in the elect-

Table 2. The experimental and calculated $B(\mathrm{E} 2)\left(e^{2} \mathrm{fm}{ }^{4}\right)$ and $B(\mathrm{M} 1)\left(\mu_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)$ for ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$.

|  | $B$ (E2) |  | $B$ (M1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exp. | Cal. | Exp. | Cal. |
| $2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$ | 0.0061(2) | 0.0060 |  |  |
| $2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ |  | 0.13522 | 0.172(11) | 0.008 |
| $2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$ | 0.00018(2) | 0.00021 |  |  |
| $2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.00000 |  |  |
| $2_{3}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.00010 |  |  |
| $2_{3}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$ | 0.00011(4) | 0.00011 |  |  |
| $2_{3}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ |  | 0.05817 |  | 0.00340 |
| $2_{3}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.06405 |  | 0.00189 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ | 0.00049(1) | 0.00053 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.00001 |  |  |
| $1_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$ |  |  |  | 0.00354 |
| $1_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{2}^{+}$ |  |  |  | 0.00000 |
| $1_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ |  | 0.01363 |  | 0.00276 |
| $1_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.02337 |  | 0.00000 |
| $1_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{3}^{+}$ |  | 0.00237 |  | 0.00007 |
| $3_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ |  | 0.04049 | 0.00183(27) | 0.00182 |
| $3_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.03153 | 0.0285(41) | 0.0000 |
| $3_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{3}^{+}$ |  | 0.00196 |  | 0.00001 |
| $3_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 4_{1}^{+}$ |  | 0.17917 |  | 0.00497 |
| $4_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ | 0.0021(1) | 0.0023 |  |  |
| $4_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.00029 |  |  |
| $4_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ | $0.0064(14)$ | 0.0045 |  |  |
| $4_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}$ |  | 0.00022 |  |  |
| $4_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{3}^{+}$ |  | 0.00010 |  |  |
| $4_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 4_{1}^{+}$ |  | 0.17705 |  | 0.00915 |

romagnetic transitions are determined by fitting the experimental data, where $\alpha_{0}=0.119, \beta_{0}=0.672$, $\alpha_{1}=0.037, \beta_{1}=0.581, g_{0}=0.000, g_{1}=0.301$ respectively. Table 2 gives the electromagnetic transition rate calculated by IBM-3 [34].

Table 2 shows that the calculated $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values are quite close to the experimental ones. The calculated quadrupole moment of the $2_{1}^{+}$state is $Q\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)=$ 0.59418 eb , that of the $2_{2}^{+}$state is $Q\left(2_{2}^{+}\right)=1.12365 \mathrm{eb}$ and that of the $4_{1}^{+}$state is $Q\left(4_{1}^{+}\right)=1.41749 \mathrm{eb}$.

## 5 Conclusion

By using the isospin-dependent interacting boson model (IBM-3), we have calculated the isospin excitation bands at low spin, electromagnetic transitions and mixed symmetry structure of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$. The results calculated by the IBM-3 show good agreement with the experimental data available in a low energy level. The results conclude that the IBM-3 is good in the description of the low-lying levels in ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus. The present calculations also give the structure information of the isospin and mixed symmetry states of ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus. The $1_{1}^{+}$and $3_{2}^{+}$states are also proved to be mixed symmetry states. The ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus is in transition from $U(5)$ to $S U(3)$.
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