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#### Abstract

A systematic study of the product $\left(\left(E\left(2_{2}^{+}\right) / E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ is carried out in the major shell space $Z=50-82, N=82-126$ within the framework of the asymmetric rotor model where the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ reflects change in the nuclear structure. A systematic study of the product $\left(\left(E\left(2_{2}^{+}\right) / E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)\right) * B(E 2) \uparrow\right)$ with neutron number $N$ is also discussed. The product $\left(\left(E\left(2_{2}^{+}\right) / E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ provides a direct correlation with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The effect of subshells is visible in Ba-Gd nuclei with $N>82$, but not in Hf-Pt nuclei with $N>104$. We study, for the first time, the dependency of the product $\left(\left(E\left(2_{2}^{+}\right) / E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)\right) * B(E 2) \uparrow\right)$ on the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$.
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## 1 Introduction

The systematic study of nuclear structure with proton number $Z$ or neutron number $N$ gives a deeper understanding of the nuclear interactions involved. The collective nuclear structure of medium mass nuclei $(A$ $=150-200)$ changes from vibrator to rotor as we go away from the closed shell. It is preferred to reproduce these changes in the structure of nuclei with $Z$ or $N$. Many microscopic and macroscopic methods have been introduced to study the nuclear spectra. In microscopic theory, like the shell model in spherical or deformed nuclei, the $Z$ or $N$ dependency of nucleon-nucleon interactions is observed to study the experimental data of nuclear spectra. In a phenomenological approach, the parameters of the Hamiltonian $H$ are fitted to experimental data and the nuclear spectra are then studied. In empirical studies, the systematic variation of experimental data is observed with $Z, N$ or $A$ in order to understand the nucleon-nucleon interactions. The Interacting Boson Model (IBM) [1] has used all the above ways to study nucleon-nucleon interactions. Most of the studies have been done using the phenomenological IBM model (see Refs. [2-4]). The systematic study of the first excited state energy $E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$and energy ratio $R_{4 / 2}=E\left(4_{1}^{+}\right) / E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$ with the total boson number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=N_{\mathrm{p}}+N_{\mathrm{n}}$ (where $N_{\mathrm{p}}=$ proton boson numbers and $N_{\mathrm{n}}=$ neutron boson numbers) and $N_{\mathrm{p}} N_{\mathrm{n}}$ product was studied by Casten [2]. In IBM-1, the coefficient parameter $\chi$ of the Hamiltonian in the quadrupole operator [5] plays an important role
in determining the structural changes in nuclei. In IBM2 [1], the structure of the nucleus is supposed to be a function of the $N_{\mathrm{p}} N_{\mathrm{n}}$ product.

The rigid triaxial Asymmetric Rotor Model (ARM) of Davydov and Filippov [6] explains the structure of transitional nuclei and the obtained results are better than the axially symmetric rotation model. The energy level spacing and transitional properties of excited states in even-even nuclei can be calculated using the ARM model. The changes in structure of nuclei are observed in terms of the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ of the ARM model. A small correlation of $B$ (E2) ratios $\left(2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+} / 2_{1}^{+}\right),\left(2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 0 / 2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0\right),\left(2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+} / 2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0\right)$ with $R_{2 \gamma}=E\left(2_{2}^{+}\right) / E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$and noticeable deviations in the curve was observed for low $R_{2 \gamma}[7]$. The results of the ARM model and Rotation-Vibration model [8] were compared by Davidson [9] and it was observed that both the models are equally good. The Davydov-Rostovsky model [10] was proposed to study the $\beta$-band and calculated the rotational level energies for spins $4,6,8$ in even-even nuclei. Gupta and Sharma [11] illustrated that the $B\left(\mathrm{E} 2,2_{\gamma} \rightarrow 0 / 2\right)$ and $B\left(\mathrm{E} 2,3_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2 / 4\right)$ ratios have some dependence on the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. Mittal et al. [12] extended this search to study neutrondeficient light $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Sm}$ nuclei for $N<82$ and predicted the same results. The correlation of $B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ values with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ have been studied in Ref. [13].

The global best fit equation for nuclear data was introduced by Grodzins [14]:

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right) \sim \mathrm{constant} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

using the relation between the reduced electric quadrupole transition probability, $B\left(\mathrm{E} 2 ; 0_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}\right)$values $(=B$ (E2) $\uparrow$ values) and the first excited state energy $E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$. Gupta [15] pointed out that the constancy of the Grodzins product breaks down in the combined effect of $Z=64$ subshell effect and transition at $N=88-90$. Recently, Kumari and Mittal [16] studied the correlation between the Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ and $N_{\mathrm{p}} N_{\mathrm{n}}$ product, and further extended their research work to study the dependence of the Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ on the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}[17]$. It would be interesting to replace the first excited state energy, $E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$with energy ratio, $R_{2 \gamma}$ because the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is sensitive to $R_{2 \gamma}$. In this context, the equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right) \sim \text { constant } . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We present extensive experimental data for the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ and study it with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ for the first time.

## 2 Theory

The Hamiltonian of the ARM [6] can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \frac{A J_{\lambda}^{2}}{2 \sin ^{2}\left(\gamma-\frac{2 \pi}{3} \lambda\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4 B \beta^{2}}$ has the dimensions of energy. The $J_{\lambda}^{2}$ are the angular momentum projection operators on the axes of the coordinate system related to the nucleus. The rotational level energies for $2,3,5$ spins and the transitional probabilities between these energy levels have been obtained by treating the nucleus as a triaxial ellipsoid. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ of the ARM switches between $0^{\circ}$ and $30^{\circ}$. It determines the deviation in shape of the nucleus from axial symmetry. When the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is zero, the energy spectrum is similar to an axially symmetric nucleus. Even though the increase of asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ affects the rotational energy spectrum of the nucleus very slightly, some new rotational energy states with spin $2,3,4,5,6$ etc. appear (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [6]). This effect becomes large as the asymmetry parameter reaches $\gamma_{0}=20^{\circ}$. The nucleus gets deformed with the increase in asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ and finally develops into a triaxial near $\gamma_{0}=30^{\circ}$.

There are many approaches to calculate the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. Firstly, Varshni and Bose [18] used $R_{4 / 2}$ to calculate the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ and exclude nuclei with $R_{4 / 2}<8 / 3$. The first excited state
$E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$as well as the second excited state $E\left(4_{1}^{+}\right)$were used to determine the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ [19]. Gupta et al. [20] proposed another approach to calculate the value of the quadrupole moment $Q$ using the sum of $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ values of $2_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$and $2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}$. The value of the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ has been calculated but this approach was not so fruitful and had some shortcomings. Davydov et al. [6] used $R_{2 \gamma}$ to determine the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ and this approach was found to be valid [11]. We calculate the value of the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ by using $R_{2 \gamma}$ from the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}=\frac{1}{3} \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{9}{8}\left(1-\left(\frac{R_{2 \gamma}-1}{R_{2 \gamma}+1}\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The experimental values of $E\left(2_{2}^{+}\right)$and $E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)$are taken from the website of the National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA [21]. The reduced electric quadrupole transition probability, $B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ are obtained from Ref. [22] where the $B$ (E2) $\uparrow$ values were compiled for the even-even nuclei of the $0 \leqslant A \leqslant 260$ mass region.

## 3 Results and discussion

Gupta et al. [23] suggested splitting the major shell space $Z=50-82, N=82-126$ into four quadrants on the basis of valence-particles and valence-holes. Quadrant-I has particle-particle bosons, quadrant-II contains holeparticle bosons and quadrant-III consists of hole-hole bosons. Quadrant-IV contains particle-hole bosons and no nuclei lie in this region. A detailed discussion of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ in quadrants-I, II and III is presented in the following subsections.

### 3.1 Ba-Gd nuclei, $N>82$ region

This region contains particle-particle bosons of the $Z=50-82, N=82-126$ major shell region, named the $N>82$ region. The plot of product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ against neutron number $N$ for Ba-Gd nuclei is shown in Fig. 1. The sudden increase in the value of product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ at $N=88$ is due to the onset of deformation at $Z=64$, as stated in many research papers, see Ref. [2, 24, 25]. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ is plotted versus the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ for quadrant-I in Fig. 2. This region is well known in the literature because of the presence of $Z=64$ subshell closure at $N=88-90$ isotones. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is sensitive to $R_{2 \gamma}$ for $\gamma_{0}=0^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$ but it is much less sensitive in the range of $\gamma_{0}=20^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ (see Ref. [11]). On multiplying the energy ratio $R_{2 \gamma}$ with $B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ values, the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ becomes less sensitive
to the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ for $20^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$. The effect of the $Z=64$ subshell is also visible in Fig. 2. The value of the product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) increases suddenly at $N=88-90$ isotones. Therefore, the nuclei Nd and Sm show a sharp increase in the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ at $N=88-90\left(\gamma_{0} \rightarrow 19^{\circ}\right.$ to $\left.13^{\circ}\right)$. However, the overall trend of the curve is smooth. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(E 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows more smoothness with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as compared to the neutron number $N$ for Ba-Gd nuclei in the $N>82$ region (see Figs. 1 and 2).


Fig. 1. Plots of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ vs. $N$ for the $N>82$ region in Ba-Gd nuclei.


Fig. 2. Plots of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right) v s$. asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ for the $N>82$ region in Ba-Gd nuclei.

The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ decreases smoothly with increase in the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows more smoothness as compared to the Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ with asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ in quadrant-I (see Ref. [17]). The calculated values of asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ for all nuclei of quadrant-I are shown in Fig. 3. This can be taken as a reference for identifying the isotope numbers related to the data points in Fig. 2.


Fig. 3. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as a function of proton number and neutron number obtained by using the Asymmetric Rotor Model for quadrant-I.

### 3.2 Dy-Hf nuclei, $N<104$ region

This is a proton-hole and neutron-particle boson subregion of the $Z=50-82, N=82-126$ major shell region known as quadrant-II. The plot of product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ against neutron number $N$ for Dy -Hf nuclei is shown in Fig. 4. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ versus the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is plotted in Fig. 5 for the $N<104$ region. This quadrant-II is described as a transition region of $S U(3)$ to $U(5)$ or $O(6)$ region. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows more smoothness with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as compared to the neutron number $N$ for Dy-Hf nuclei in the $N<104$ region (see Figs. 4 and 5).


Fig. 4. Plots of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ vs. $N$ in Dy-Hf nuclei.

The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is sensitive to $R_{2 \gamma}$ for $\gamma_{0}=0^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$. This is also true for the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ (see Fig. 5). However, the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ ranging from $20^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ is less sensitive to $R_{2 \gamma}$. This feature is seen in the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$. The Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows more constancy for deformed nuclei [15]. This is also true in the
case of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ as quadrant-II consists of both well-deformed and transitional nuclei. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ smoothly decreases for all these nuclei. This shows the direct correlation of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows smoother graphs than the Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ with asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ in quadrant-II (see Ref. [17]). The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as a function of $N$ and $Z$ for $N<104$ in Dy-Hf nuclei is plotted in Fig. 6.


Fig. 5. Plots of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ vs. asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ for the $N<104$ region in Dy-Hf nuclei.


Fig. 6. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as a function of proton number and neutron number obtained by using the Asymmetric Rotor Model for quadrant-II.

### 3.3 Hf-Pt nuclei, $N>104$ region

These nuclei lie in quadrant-III of the major shell space $Z=50-82, N=82-126$ and contain the hole-hole subspace. Quadrant-III consists of nuclei which undergo transition from well deformed to $\gamma$-soft. The plot of product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ against neutron number $N$ for Hf-Pt nuclei is shown in Fig. 7. The variation of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ versus the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$
shows a smoothly decreasing curve (see Fig. 8) for Hf-Pt nuclei. The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(E 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows more smoothness with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as compared to the neutron number $N$ for Hf-Pt nuclei in the $N>104$ region (see Figs. 7 and 8).


Fig. 7. Plots of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ vs. $N$ in $\mathrm{Hf}-\mathrm{Pt}$ nuclei.


Fig. 8. Plots of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(E 2) \uparrow\right)$ vs. asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ for $N>104$ region in Hf-Pt nuclei.

The ${ }^{178-180} \mathrm{Hf}$ and ${ }^{180-186} \mathrm{~W}$ nuclei lie in the range $\gamma_{0}=10^{\circ}-16^{\circ}$ and this region is sensitive to $R_{2 \gamma}$. This shows that the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ is also sensitive in the range of asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}=0^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$ (as the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ varies from 20 to $\left.60 \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2}\right)$. The ${ }^{182-192}$ Os nuclei vary from $\gamma_{0}=14^{\circ}$ to $26^{\circ}$, implying that they are shape phase transitional nuclei. The ${ }^{184-196} \mathrm{Pt}$ nuclei lie in a less sensitive region of the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) with asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ predicts that the ${ }^{192-196} \mathrm{Pt}$ nuclei make a transition from $\gamma$-soft to rigid nuclei. A small neutron sub-shell gap is effective at $N=114$ in quadrant-III (see Ref. [26]). The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(E 2) \uparrow\right)$ is not affected due to this neutron shell gap at $N=114$ because the maximum value of the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is $30^{\circ}$
and for Pt nuclei, the curve merges at $\gamma_{0}=30^{\circ}$. Therefore, we get the smooth decreasing curve for quadrantIII. This shows the direct dependency of the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ on the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) shows a smoother correlation with asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as compared to the relation between the Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ and the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ in quadrant-III (see Ref. [17]). The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ values in Hf-Pt nuclei for the $N>104$ region are presented in Fig. 9 and these values can be considered as a reference to identify the isotope number related to the data points in Fig. 8.


Fig. 9. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as a function of proton number and neutron number obtained by using the Asymmetric Rotor Model for quadrant-III.

## 4 Conclusion

The product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ provides a direct correlation with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The systematics of the product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) with neutron number $N$ were also discussed. In all the above mentioned quadrants, the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ varies from 0 to $80 \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2}$. In quadrant-I with $N>82$, the product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) decreases with increase in the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The effect of the $Z=64$ subshell is also visible in Ba-Gd nuclei. In quadrant-II with $N<104$, the product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) decreases with increase in the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. For well deformed nuclei, the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows a good correlation with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The neutron subshell gap at $N=114$ is also less effective in $P t$ nuclei in the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right.$ ). The product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) decreases with increase in the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ is sensitive to the product ( $R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow$ ) in range $\gamma_{0}=0^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$. In the case of transitional nuclei, $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ lies in the range $\gamma_{0}=15^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$. However, the product $\left(R_{2 \gamma} * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$ shows somewhat better correlation with the asymmetry parameter $\gamma_{0}$ as compared to the Grodzins product $\left(E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right) * B(\mathrm{E} 2) \uparrow\right)$.
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